


Appendix D

Visioning

Workshop

**Chelan County
Grant No. G0800231**



**COMMUNITY VISION WORKSHOP
SUMMARY**
**for Shorelines in Chelan County and the
Cities of Cashmere, Chelan, Entiat,
Leavenworth and Wenatchee**

**Project: Comprehensive Shoreline Master Program Update
Task 7: Summarize Community Visioning Process**

Prepared for:



Chelan County
Natural Resources Department
316 Washington Street, Suite 401
Wenatchee, Washington 98801

Prepared by:



750 Sixth Street South
Kirkland WA 98033



710 Second Avenue, Suite 550
Seattle WA 98104



This report was
funded in part
through a grant from
the Washington
Department of
Ecology.

December 2008

Table of Contents

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Page No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background and Purpose..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Format..... | 2 |
| 1.3 Materials..... | 3 |
| 1.4 Notification..... | 4 |
| 1.4.1 Email Distribution..... | 4 |
| 1.4.2 Posters..... | 4 |
| 1.4.3 Media..... | 4 |
| 1.4.4 Direct Mail..... | 4 |
| 1.4.5 Web Sites..... | 5 |
| 2. Key Themes..... | 6 |
| 2.1 Overall Key Themes..... | 6 |
| 2.2 Public Access and Recreation..... | 6 |
| 2.2.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed..... | 7 |
| 2.2.2 City of Chelan and UGA..... | 7 |
| 2.2.3 Lake Chelan Watershed..... | 8 |
| 2.2.4 City of Entiat and UGA..... | 8 |
| 2.2.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee..... | 8 |
| 2.2.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA..... | 9 |
| 2.2.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed..... | 9 |
| 2.2.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA..... | 10 |
| 2.2.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed..... | 10 |
| 2.3 Shoreline Use and Development..... | 10 |
| 2.3.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed..... | 10 |
| 2.3.2 City of Chelan and UGA..... | 11 |
| 2.3.3 Lake Chelan Watershed..... | 12 |
| 2.3.4 City of Entiat and UGA..... | 12 |
| 2.3.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee..... | 12 |
| 2.3.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA..... | 13 |
| 2.3.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed..... | 13 |
| 2.3.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA..... | 13 |
| 2.3.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed..... | 14 |
| 2.4 Environmental Protection..... | 14 |
| 2.4.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed..... | 15 |
| 2.4.2 City of Chelan and UGA..... | 15 |
| 2.4.3 Lake Chelan Watershed..... | 15 |
| 2.4.4 City of Entiat and UGA..... | 16 |
| 2.4.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee..... | 16 |
| 2.4.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA..... | 17 |
| 2.4.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed..... | 17 |
| 2.4.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA..... | 17 |
| 2.4.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed..... | 18 |
| 3. Shoreline Management Recommendations..... | 19 |

Community Vision Workshop Summary

Appendix A: Workshop Comments, Comment Cards, Letters and Emails.....25

- A.1 Workshop Comments 25
 - A.1.1 City of Chelan and UGA 25
 - A.1.2 City of Wenatchee and UGA..... 36
 - A.1.3 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed 44
 - A.1.4 Upper Wenatchee Watershed 52
 - A.1.5 City of Leavenworth and UGA 55
 - A.1.6 City of Entiat and UGA..... 62
 - A.1.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed..... 68
 - A.1.8 Lake Chelan Watershed 73
 - A.1.9 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee..... 84
- A.2 Comment Cards and Questionnaires 89
- A.3 Letters and Emails..... 111

Appendix B: Workshop Materials 121

- B.1 Display Board 121
- B.2 Brochure 123
- B.3 Postcard Announcement 125

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Purpose

Chelan County and the Cities of Cashmere, Chelan, Entiat, Leavenworth, and Wenatchee have collectively initiated a Shoreline Master Program (SMP) update in accordance with the Washington State Shoreline Management Act and Shoreline Master Program Guidelines. The update process includes an inventory; environmental analysis and characterization; shoreline policies, environment designations, and use regulations; cumulative impacts and uses analysis; shoreline restoration plan; and a formal local adoption process. The SMP will apply to shorelines of the state, generally including lakes greater than 20 acres and streams with a mean annual flow greater than 20 cubic feet per second (cfs), together with shorelands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark and associated wetlands (RCW 90.58.030).

In May 2008, a Public Involvement Plan (PIP) was developed to guide community outreach efforts throughout the five project phases anticipated to conclude by summer 2010: awareness raising, visioning, SMP shoreline management recommendations, draft SMP policies and regulations, and SMP public approval process. During that time, the County and partner Cities will engage all shoreline users and those interested in the SMP process.

In October and November 2008, the County and partner Cities conducted the SMP Community Vision Workshop series to capture citizen questions, concerns, goals and aspirations regarding county and city shorelines. The nine interactive meetings represented the first round of community outreach focused on three key topic areas: public access and recreation, shoreline use and development, and environmental protection. Citizen input gathered at these meetings will help the project team develop shoreline goals, policies and regulations. Subsequent meetings will cover shoreline analysis, shoreline management recommendations, and draft policies and regulations. At least 195 citizens attended overall (some people did not sign in, so the total combined attendance is higher), with an average of 21 participants per meeting. The results of the workshops together with other public input (e.g., letters, e-mails and comment cards) will help guide the County and Cities' SMP update process through 2010.

Community Vision Workshop Summary

Vision Workshop Attendance

| Meeting Focus Area | Meeting Date | Meeting Location | Postcards Mailed | Participants Who Signed In |
|---|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| City of Chelan and UGA | October 21 | Chelan City Hall | 2,000 | 36 |
| City of Wenatchee and UGA | October 22 | Wenatchee Community Center | 10,022 | 10 |
| City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed (County) | October 23 | Cashmere Riverside Center | 5,166 | 28 |
| Upper Wenatchee Watershed / Lake Wenatchee (County) | October 25 | Lake Wenatchee Recreation Club | 3,292 | 39 |
| City of Leavenworth and UGA | October 27 | Leavenworth City Hall | 1,243 | 27 |
| City of Entiat and UGA | October 28 | Entiat Grange Hall | 463 | 13 |
| Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed (County) | October 29 | Malaga Fire Hall | 1,507 | 10 |
| Chelan Watershed (County) | October 30 | Chelan Fire Hall | 3,894 | 25 |
| Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee (County) | November 5 | Entiat Grange Hall | 778 | 7 |
| TOTALS | | | 28,315 | 195 |

1.2 Format

All nine workshops followed the same general format: a welcome and staff introductions, brief project update, question and answer session, break-out groups, and recap of key themes. At each meeting, Chelan County SMP project manager Erin Fonville or the local City planning staff welcomed meeting participants, thanked them for their involvement, and introduced County and consultant project team members. Ms. Fonville or the local City planning staff reviewed the SMP update requirements, and summarized how the visioning process and public comments all help produce a countywide plan that acknowledges each City's and the County's individual character, geography and land use related goals. ICF Jones & Stokes consultant Meg O'Leary explained that the purpose of the workshop series was to gather community feedback on the three key topic areas in order to help the project team develop shoreline goals, policies and regulations. She reviewed the meeting format and encouraged participants to submit their comments via a comment card, letter or email.

The Watershed Company consultant project manager Amy Summe led the question and answer session at most meetings. The 15- to 20-minute session was followed by break-out group discussions structured around three key topic areas: public access and recreation, shoreline use and development, and environmental protection. Station facilitators led 20-minute group discussions for each topic area and asked participants to respond to a list of questions (see Appendix C for the questionnaire). The break-out group structure varied depending on the number of attendees. At two workshops, participants remained seated and the facilitators rotated; at all other meetings each group remained with the same facilitator and discussed all three topics together.

The meeting facilitators included the following staff:

- Chelan County: Erin Fonville, SMP Project Manager, Department of Natural Resources; Mike Kaputa, Director, Department of Natural Resources; Lilith Yanagimachi, Planner II, Department of Community Development.
- City of Cashmere: Mark Botello, Planning/Building Director
- City of Chelan: Craig Gildroy, Planning Director; Agnes Kowacz, Assistant Planner; and Rob Jordan, Building Inspector, Code Enforcement Officer
- City of Entiat: Susan Driver, City Planner
- City of Leavenworth: Connie Krueger, AICP, Community Development Director and Nicole Hill, Permit Coordinator
- City of Wenatchee: Brian Frampton, Associate Planner
- Consultants: Amy Summe, Consultant Team Project Manager, The Watershed Company; Suzanne Tomassi, Wetland/Wildlife Biologist, The Watershed Company; Meg O'Leary, Public Involvement Lead, ICF Jones & Stokes; Lisa Grueter, AICP, Senior Planner, ICF Jones & Stokes

See Appendix A for detailed workshop comments.

1.3 Materials

Participants were asked to sign in upon their arrival, then given a meeting agenda, project brochure and comment card. Maps were displayed at each of the break-out group stations, depicting waterbodies, proposed shoreline jurisdictions, parks and open space lands, and County and City boundaries and Urban Growth Areas (UGAs). Two displays showed the countywide shoreline jurisdictions and project timeline. See Appendix B for workshop displays and maps, and Appendix C for the workshop brochure and comment card.

1.4 Notification

1.4.1 Email Distribution

On September 24, 2008, the County emailed the workshop schedule to the SMP Advisory Committee and interested parties email distribution lists.

1.4.2 Posters

On October 13, 2008, color posters (11"x17") were delivered to the partner Cities for posting in various community locations, including city halls, post offices, grocery stores, and other high-pedestrian traffic areas. Posters were also placed throughout Chelan County.

- *Entiat & Columbia River Area:* Cooper's Store (Ardenvoir), Public access point on Entiat River near the mouth, Entiat Grocery Store, Entiat U.S. Post Office, and BJ's Shell Gas Station.
- *Lake Chelan Area:* Lake Chelan Reclamation District, Reed's Marine, Red Apple Market (Manson), Manson U.S. Post Office, Old Mille Park Boat Launch and Picnic Area (4 posters), Lake Chelan Shores Community Center, Lady of the Lake, Subway & Gas, Pat & Mike's Texaco, and 25 Mile Creek State Park Boat Launch (2 posters).
- *Lake Wenatchee Area:* Cole's Corner Gas Station, Parkside Market, Midway Market, Lake Wenatchee State Park, Midway Grocery, Cove Resort, and Just Plain Grocery.
- *Malaga Area:* Squilchuck Market, Malaga Market, and Malaga U.S. Post Office.
- *Wenatchee Valley Area:* Tom, Dick & Harry's (Monitor), Dryden U.S. Post Office, Dryden Grocery & Hardware, BJ's Shell Gas Station at Big Y, Peshastin U.S. Post Office, and Monitor U.S. Post Office.

1.4.3 Media

On October 15, 2008, the County emailed the workshop schedule to the following news sources: Cherry Creek Radio Stations, KOHO Radio, Wenatchee World, Cashmere Valley Record, Lake Chelan Mirror, Leavenworth Echo, and the Wenatchee Public Library.

1.4.4 Direct Mail

On October 14, 2008, postcard workshop announcements (Appendix C) were mailed to 28,315 addresses countywide. Postcards were mailed to every Chelan County property owner. The City of Chelan included a flyer in their monthly utility bill.

1.4.5 Web Sites

- Chelan County Public Utility District (PUD) posted workshops dates in their monthly “PUD News Line” (September 23, 2008 edition) at www.chelanpud.org/5822.html
- Chelan County posted workshop dates on their Web site www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
- An invite to the October 25, 2008 Lake Wenatchee workshop was posted on the Lake Wenatchee Info Web site www.lakewenatcheeinfo.com

2. KEY THEMES

The purpose of the workshops was to identify participants' questions, concerns and goals regarding their community shorelines. The workshops were structured to explore existing conditions, ideas for improvements, and plans for future development and shoreline use. The following key themes emerged during the workshop break-out sessions. See Appendix A for detailed workshop comments.

2.1 Overall Key Themes

The following ten key themes arose consistently during break-out discussions at many of the County and City workshops.

- People are drawn to the natural beauty of the area. They recognize its importance environmentally and economically, and the need for a balance of shoreline uses.
- Countywide need for signage and well defined public access points.
- Many are concerned about the water quality of local ponds, creeks, streams, rivers and lakes and recommend improved water quality testing and monitoring, stormwater management and erosion control.
- Many are concerned about noise impacts from motorized vehicles on County rivers and lakes.
- Improve management of new development and density through zoning, and enhance pedestrian and bicycle connectivity.
- Keep new development in areas where existing development is located.
- Establish and maintain view corridors and scenic view roadway turnouts.
- Identify publicly owned land that could be adapted for new public access (e.g., street ends and rights-of-ways).
- Initiate and support ongoing efforts for cleanup and removal of litter, debris and junk metal in the water and along our shorelines.
- We have many parks and trails. Expand existing facilities before building new. Ensure existing public access, landscape and natural character are maintained.

2.2 Public Access and Recreation

Participants were asked how they currently use community shorelines, how easy it is to access those areas, which areas need new or improved public access, and what they imagined their community's shorelines will look like in the future. Participants

regularly use shoreline areas for walking, biking, fishing, rafting, kayaking, boating, tubing, jet skiing, swimming, bird watching, wildlife viewing, beach combing, scenic viewpoints, educational purposes, and even gold panning. Some feel that public access is inadequate because of private ownership, and difficult to find due to lack of clearly defined access points. Others feel their communities have fairly good public shoreline access. Participants recommend the County and partner Cities consider clear signage; more public docks, marinas and boat launches; more trails and access for non-motorized uses; improved amenities (restrooms, parking and dumpsters) at access points; and dog friendly areas. Some recommend an inventory of publicly owned land that could be converted for public access. Participants pointed out the conflicts between permitted private uses adjacent to public land and suggest prioritizing public access opportunities based on use and the potential impacts to private land.

2.2.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed

- Public areas are difficult to access – need well defined access points. People create their own path and cause safety problems and river bank degradation.
- More access for fishing, boat launches and picnics (e.g., Mission Creek, Wenatchee River and Rodeo Hole)
- Identify existing sites for new public access and parking (e.g., mulch center)
- More highway turnoffs for scenic view points
- Confirm commitment of Railroad for involvement in shoreline protection, management, stewardship

2.2.2 City of Chelan and UGA

- Strong desire for public access to 3 Fingers (consider City purchase of land)
- More public access for a variety of uses
- Identify and protect non-motorized use areas – concerns about pollution, air quality, health, safety
- Identify street ends and vacant right-of-ways for public access
- Better signage and maintenance of unmarked public access
- Establish trails along lake and down river
- Create transitions between water and land uses
- Conflicts between permitted private uses adjacent to public land
- Prioritize public access opportunities based on use and impact on private land
- Fear of losing lake views – stagger building heights
- Parking and public transportation to and from access points

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Consider waterfront restaurant

2.2.3 Lake Chelan Watershed

- The more public access, the better – especially in summertime
- Concern that more parks equals more boats, and therefore more wildlife damage
- Micro parks, public docks, boat launches and beach access
- Non-boater access for walking, hiking, biking, horseback riding and dog owners
- Need to maintain view corridors
- Access needed on both sides of Lake Chelan
- Trail along the gorge, all the way to Chelan Falls
- Preserve, identify and clearly sign all street ends and rights-of-way for public access
- Don't force private owners to provide [public access]
- Consider purchase of private property for additional public parks

2.2.4 City of Entiat and UGA

- Public access is a priority for Entiat! Need more public access rather than private or exclusive uses.
- Balance habitat and development
- Signage for existing public access
- Trail and mini-parks along waterfront with multiple access points for commercial
- Entiat park with access to swimming beach and pedestrian bridge to islands
- Full-service public marinas – fueling, pump out, restroom, waterfront restaurant
- Connect waterfront via community loop trail
- Concerns about congestion problem at single boat launch

2.2.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee

- Inadequate public access
- Lots of access to forest lands, so there is not necessarily inadequate access locally – just not much “urban” access, more backcountry
- Need uses that promote local economic vitality

- Inventory scenic vistas and turnout points (especially above Rocky Reach)
- Identify public ownership areas, then determine more public access points
- Inventory recently purchased land trust properties
- Need public access along Entiat River and Columbia River – lots of private ownership currently
- Signage needed for public access points – with no clear access, people make their own pathway across private property without permission
- Boat launch on Chelan County side of Columbia River
- Fishing access along Entiat River

2.2.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA

- Clear signage for public access
- Preservation of scale is important
- Public access to golf course year round for walking, cross-country skiing
- Keep public access at well site for non-commercial rafting or limit numbers
- Require LEED building design on shorelines
- Scale buildings and set them back in areas directly adjacent to park areas – require buffering
- Continuous pedestrian and bicycle paths, outside of right-of-way
- Trail system along entire shoreline – development restriction
- Purchase additional property in commercial zone
- Need East Leavenworth boat launch
- Need flexibility (e.g., fisherman's access) – if not in use, flexibility for [use of] private properties
- Riparian vegetation is important for atmosphere and environment
- Blackbird Island vegetation management for safety, balance
- Tax incentives to allow public access
- Private land access – concerns about land owner liability
- Model Europe – all shorelines accessible, even on private property (managed with signage, fences)

2.2.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed

- There will be growth in next 20 years – need to plan appropriately
- Current parks are under-served

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- District has shut off access due to vandalism
- Free public boat launch with parking and garbage cans
- Better boat access to Wenatchee River and Lake Wenatchee
- Access north of dam, south of Wenatchee
- Concerns about erosion at boat launch areas
- Consider County land purchase for launch and park in Malaga
- Improve areas where access actually occurs, otherwise people make their own
- Don't want to force public access

2.2.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA

- Balance of appropriate use in the right place
- Minimize environmental impacts
- Expand existing facilities rather than building new sites and facilities
- Feel good about waterfront access today – want to keep it – part of Wenatchee charm
- More kayak, paddle boat and tuber access
- No new beaches, especially in natural areas – small beach access okay for kids
- Could use more lighting near 5th Street

2.2.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed

- No comments gathered at the workshop for this topic area.

2.3 Shoreline Use and Development

Participants responded to questions about the scope and scale of their community's shoreline uses (e.g., what is there too much or too little of?), what type of development they would like to see, and the most suitable locations for future development. In general, participants feel it is important to create more public access for a variety of uses, establish and maintain view corridors, improve management of new development and density through zoning, address trash and litter along shorelines, improve pedestrian and bicycle connectivity, and control the noise and environmental and impacts of motorized crafts on the County's lakes and rivers.

2.3.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed

- More habitat, open space and recreation
- Designated public access for fishing

- Better public access for non-fishermen at Rodeo Hall and Sleepy Hollow
- River trail between Wenatchee and Leavenworth for biking and walking
- Add parking and restrooms in high use areas
- Consider waterfront hotel, restaurant – City benefit, take advantage of scenery
- Like to see fewer business and commercial uses on waterfront (e.g., concrete plant, warehouses)
- No need for high intensity development
- Existing Wenatchee River boat launches are inadequate
- Inappropriate use of Wenatchee River bridges –causes parking problems
- Inappropriate launch area at Mission Creek near Wenatchee and at Jarvis – spawning salmon
- Preserve floodplains
- Clean up car junkyards on Riverfront Drive and along Mission Creek
- Water crafts and jet skis on Lake Wenatchee are noisy and destroy banks
- Concern about impacts to water quality from overuse of pesticides and road salt (Blewett Pass)

2.3.2 City of Chelan and UGA

- Need consistent year round water level in Lake Chelan
- Lake Chelan is our primary asset. Don't restrict our economy. Need more year round moorage and public access. Tourism big part.
- Concern about shoreline and marina congestion
- Concern about boat refueling – water quality (i.e. fuels spills from no automatic shut-offs) and swimmer safety
- Lessen standards for docks to allow for existing dock maintenance. Some docks are falling apart.
- Design review and City plan needed to maintain cohesive character
- Density requirements on shoreline – we only have so much space
- Concern about height blocking views – just under 50 feet
- Too much condo and home development
- Current standards make it difficult for private owners to make dock repairs
- Concern about large woody debris – improperly placed, aesthetics, navigation
- Add camping areas

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Proposed trails conflict with existing parking
- More habitat for fish – concern about impacts of shoreline noise and activity
- More and more garbage floating on lake – clean it up

2.3.3 Lake Chelan Watershed

- Enough residential, business, agriculture and irrigation
- More commercial outside urban area (gas refueling stations, restaurants, retail, etc.)
- More non-motorized use and development – kayak, canoes, bike, pedestrian pathways
- More boat rental and dock spaces
- Dog friendly access
- Buoy line for swimmers
- Safe pedestrian walkway along water with connectivity to downtown shops
- Better, more affordable access uplake (besides Lady of the Lake) for non boat owners – a shuttle?
- Need view corridors
- Improve signage for public access
- Open up street ends or combine to make single large park
- Concerns about residential development
- Concerns about water quality, aesthetics – appalling development, particularly on steep slopes
- Concerns about loud water crafts, fast boats, wakes, gas tanks, marinas and increased septic
- Removal of junk cars around lakes above Manson

2.3.4 City of Entiat and UGA

- Establish retail and restaurant businesses
- We have enough residential
- Concerns about jet ski noise and enforcement of Entiat River “No Wake” zone
- No high intensity, manufacturing, detrimental waste-producing uses (e.g., livestock, junk yards)

2.3.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee

- More commercial within Entiat city limits and along shoreline

- Waterfront hotel
- Concerns about too much residential
- Concerns about additional access and usage impacting Entiat River
- Concerns about conflicting fishing and water craft uses
- Marina may help reduce private dock construction
- Pocket parks
- Community pool or aquatic center

2.3.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA

- We like our existing shoreline uses
- Houses 25 feet from river – seems too close – other areas have larger buffers
- Would be nice to have a waterfront restaurant
- No high intensity uses!
- Pedestrian connection from Blackbird Island to golf course
- Better park system maintenance

2.3.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed

- County needs to review Malaga Vision Plan and Stemilt-Squilchuck Community Vision Plan – many of your shoreline questions are answered
- No multifamily units – design rural riverfront, small lot, single family
- No more waterfront homes
- Concern about litter and squatters along shoreline
- Lake Entiat (on Entiat side) is suitable for high intensity development
- Orondo suitable for high intensity recreation, support facilities (e.g., fueling)
- Development that enhances fishing and builds fish habitat
- Protect existing agriculture

2.3.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA

- Plenty of parks and trails currently – make sure public access, natural character and landscape are maintained
- Interpretive signage at confluence and wetlands areas
- Public access across Highway 2
- Odabashian Bridge extension of loop trail

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Pedestrian/bicycle connectivity from downtown to water across railroad and in all directions in northern UGA
- No high-intensity development except marina and education center
- Don't want to see hotels near parks – waterfront parks require mixed use
- Consider waterfront restaurant
- Don't want motorized crafts
- Limited additional water-oriented commercial – kayak rental, fishing guides
- Want to see small marina, docks – don't want permanent slips
- Lacking open space for recreation
- Concerns about value of waterfront property
- Scenic view protection

2.3.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed

- Too much removal of riparian vegetation along shorelines by landowners
- Too many Beach/Community Clubs along Lake Wenatchee
- Too much impervious surfaces impacting runoff
- Maintain open spaces and parks – possibly add a dog park
- Not enough restroom facilities
- Concerns about future multi-family and commercial uses and development outside of shorelines
- Noise pollution from jet skis, boats, music from boats
- Light pollution
- No safe, contained boat refueling areas – educate public about safe refueling

2.4 Environmental Protection

Participants were asked which community natural areas should be protected and the best approach for preservation. They identified degraded shoreline areas that should be restored and discussed who should be responsible for restoration efforts. Many expressed concern about the water quality of local ponds, creeks, streams, rivers and lakes and recommend improved water quality testing and monitoring, stormwater management, and erosion control. Some pointed out the need for better management of refueling stations and the need for reductions in pesticide and chemical spray use. Others noted the loss of views, view corridors, and public access due to increased private development. Most agreed that public

education—especially of children—plays an important role in environmental stewardship, preservation and restoration.

2.4.1 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed

- Preserve Wenatchee River waterfront, Lake Jarvis, salmon spawning grounds near Jarvis Station, Mission Creek, Sand Creek, Peshastin Creek, Brender Creek, and Mill Pond
- Encourage preservation through interpretive signs and public outreach
- Coordinate volunteer, community-based clean up with service clubs and schools
- Create landowner incentives instead of regulations
- Establish better mechanisms for enforcement of environmental regulations
- Clean up dump areas, debris and garbage in and around waterbodies
- Dikes near recycling center get degraded because rafters scramble to water
- Restore dike where it has been eroded by people seeking river access

2.4.2 City of Chelan and UGA

- Alarmed about loss of lake view, access points, corridor preserves, noise pollution and water quality
- Any area that is currently public should remain public (e.g., Park Street)
- Need water quality study and more water quality regulations and monitoring
- Require water testing near marinas and high impact use areas (e.g., refueling stations)
- Create automatic shut-offs for boat refueling
- Limit buoys at public access points
- Too many marinas
- Concerns about milfoil in Lake Chelan
- Concerns about lake level for Lake Chelan
- Coordinate parking with public access
- Educate and encourage private businesses to upgrade their facilities

2.4.3 Lake Chelan Watershed

- Already afforded degree of environmental protection – programs already in place – we have enough
- Continue to be protected under existing [regulations], but don't add more

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Columbia River docks and banks – concerned about private use, not protection
- Concern about access on Upper Stehekin Valley Road
- Improve stormwater management
- Concern about sediment and pollutant runoff to lake and river
- Erosion protection in developed area is the County's responsibility
- Concern about Chelan valley runoff from fires
- Concern about clearing and grading around lake
- Concern about woody debris
- More local control
- Would like to see top 30 miles [of lake] remain natural, as is – concern about private holdings there and would prefer to have it remain public

2.4.4 City of Entiat and UGA

- Columbia River areas need to be enhanced and restored to natural condition (e.g., re-vegetation)
- Entiat waterfront plan – building theme or style should tie together
- Need volunteer involvement – Tree Board
- New hotel to bring more tourists and invite new residents
- Incorporate viewpoints and small parks like PUD
- Concerns about increased beaver population
- City should be responsible for restoration in cooperation with PUD

2.4.5 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee

- County should review Entiat watershed plan – includes list of areas for preservation
- Preserve area from PUD substation northward, near Earthquake Point, where cliffs come to Columbia River – heavily used by waterfowl
- PUD could surplus land for conversion to public access (e.g., southern tip of Earthquake Point)
- Preserve environmentally sensitive area in front of proposed marina
- Preserve springs and streams at mouth of Columbia River
- Inventory land that could become wildlife habitat
- Concern about beaver damage to trees

- County should be responsible for restoration

2.4.6 City of Leavenworth and UGA

- Blackbird Island – habitat restoration on north side and erosion protection on south side
- Concerns about erosion along river banks due to access and use
- Avoid over-development of Chumstick Creek

2.4.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed

- Control off-road vehicles – tearing up meadows and low lying areas, going near water and causing siltation in the Stemilt Basin and on Birch Mountain
- Address erosion along Columbia River
- Address littering problem in water and along shoreline
- Inventory state or public lands – protect and preserve those areas
- Offer rewards and incentives
- Why do we need a reward to do the right thing?
- Consider local fundraisers, local business donations and Adopt-a-Stream/Reservoir/Lake
- Involve the kids
- Incentives for private owners to preserve?
- Trees blow over and cause erosion – need native vegetation
- County should review WRIA 40a plan
- Improved roads make it easier to get in and impact natural areas
- County needs to advertise positive restoration activities completed or in progress

2.4.8 City of Wenatchee and UGA

- Protect unique areas, but balance other areas for appropriate uses
- Replanting north of confluence area (e.g., drought tolerant plants)
- Preserve Horse Lake Road, south bank of Wenatchee, for possible future park
- Ensure adequate, aesthetic lighting but shielding so it doesn't impact neighborhoods
- Public education – involve the kids
- Coordinate volunteer restoration efforts

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Is City helping the homeless?
- City should improve irrigation near 5th Street – could become a view point
- Restoration is not just a responsibility, it's a privilege

2.4.9 Upper Wenatchee Watershed

- Preserve north and south shore drinking water sources – public health concern
- Preserve White River, Fish Lake wetlands, Lake Wenatchee's north shore, and smaller lakes (e.g., Hidden Lake)
- Investigate opportunities to preserve private property
- Enjoy and appreciate current mix of public, private – variety of access and uses
- Limit future commercial and high density use
- Better education would lead to less need for regulation
- Volunteer restoration programs for kids would help build appreciation and stewardship
- Restoration efforts could be supported by Chelan-Douglas Land Trust through Conservation Easements
- Concerns about land clearing and impacts to shorelines and streams
- Maintain native vegetation as much as possible
- Concern about spraying along roads near water

3. SHORELINE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Public Comment Matrix and Recommendations

This section sorts vision workshop public comments by meeting location and key SMP topic – shoreline use, public access, and environmental protection. A general summary of how the comments are likely to be addressed in SMP provisions is included.

| Vision Workshop Meeting Location / Coverage Area | | | | | | Recommendations / Portion of Shoreline Master Program where Topic will be Addressed |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lake Wenatchee / Upper Wenatchee Watershed, Malaga / Stemilt-Squilchuck-Colockum Watershed, City of Entiat / Entiat Watershed, City of Chelan / Chelan Watershed | City of Cashmere / City, UGA, and Lower Wenatchee Watershed | City of Chelan / City and UGA | City of Entiat / City and UGA | City of Leavenworth / City and UGA | City of Wenatchee / City and UGA | |
| <p>Shoreline Use: The SMA requires that "uses shall be preferred which are consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment, or are unique to or dependent upon use of the states' shorelines..." "Preferred" uses include single family residences, ports, shoreline recreational uses, water dependent industrial and commercial developments and other developments that provide public access opportunities. To the maximum extent possible, the shorelines should be reserved for "water-oriented" uses, including "water-dependent", "water-related" and "water-enjoyment" uses. The Act affords special consideration to Shorelines of Statewide Significance that have greater than regional importance. Preferred uses for Shorelines of Statewide Significance, in order of priority, are to "recognize and protect the state wide interest over local interest; preserve the natural character of the shoreline; result in long term over short term benefit; protect the resources and ecology of the shoreline; increase public access to publicly owned shoreline areas; and increase recreational opportunities for the public in the shoreline area." (http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sma/st_guide/intro.html)</p> | | | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas suitable for high intensity development – Lake Entiat on Entiat side of Columbia River • Orondo for high intensity recreation and support facilities, e.g., fueling [note: in Douglas County] • Protect existing agriculture • Enough agriculture and irrigation • Agriculture zone on water is no longer available • Need marina infrastructure • Problems with lake erosion at steep bluff in Manson – could be good site for shops, other waterfront development • Waterfront hotel • Need more commercial within Entiat city limits and along shoreline • Not enough commercial • For CUPs, consider requiring some kind of water access, marina, e.g., at waterfront restaurant • Lack of restaurants – outside urban area • More commercial (gas refueling stations, retail) outside urban area • Need restaurant on shoreline • There is going to be too much residential in Entiat watershed • No multifamily units, so design as rural river front – small lot, single family • No more waterfront homes • Small lot residential okay if can meet engineering/architecture [standards] • Concerns about residential | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No need for high intensity development • Agriculture – concern about use of pesticides • Restaurants, resorts – make nice development that takes advantage of scenery • Might be good to have a waterfront hotel or restaurant – benefit the City • No economic, commercial uses on waterfront • Wenatchee River already developed – put resorts in developed areas • Need more commercial within Entiat city limits and along shoreline • Add commercial • There is going to be too much residential • Protect Upper Mission Creek, Sand Creek, Mill Pond, Brender Creek, Peshastin, Wenatchee riverfront • Limit development in those areas or specific types, e.g., cabin vs. subdivisions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transitions between water and land uses • Concern about lack of car and boat trailer parking • Need to address scale (e.g., marina) • Can we get zoning on the lake? Co-locate jet skis, marinas, fueling? • House boats – need to regulate like mansions in county • Too much condo and home development • Concern about river – land use regulations • Need parking and public transportation to access points | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No high intensity uses along Entiat • Balance habitat and development • Marinas – public with full services like fueling, pump out, restroom, waterfront restaurant • Less multi-storey buildings • Future condos – need City plan to protect character – avoid out of scale with adjacent low intensity uses – wedding cake look • Need density requirements on shoreline • Waterfront restaurant • Hotel is first step to bringing houses and tourists • Need more retail, restaurants, businesses • No manufacturing • No detrimental use, waste producing, e.g., stock, junk yards • Residential is adequate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No high intensity uses! • Avoid over development of Chumstick Creek • Would be nice to have restaurant on waterfront • Require Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rated building design on shorelines • Scale buildings and set them back in areas directly adjacent to park areas – require buffering • Preservation of scale is important – keep scale | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need waterfront restaurants • Don't want bunch of hotels near parks – waterfront parks require mixed use • Waterfront last place for development • Ensure adequate, aesthetic lighting but shielding so it doesn't impact neighborhoods • Maintain natural character/landscape • Maintain natural shoreline – want balance | <p>Shoreline use provisions will be addressed in all portions of the SMP, but are most directly found in sections with an asterisk:</p> <p>SMP Contents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Review and revise goals* Conduct inventory & analysis Determine environment designations* Analyze cumulative impacts Develop restoration plan Amend permit provisions* General policies and regulations Modification policies and regulations Use policies and regulations* Public and agency involvement <p>More intensive use environments can be applied in Cities and UGAs, e.g. commercial and similar, particularly water-oriented uses. Where allowed, these will be consistent with local comprehensive plans.</p> <p>Waterfront restaurants can be addressed as a water-enjoyment use in appropriate use environments.</p> <p>Agricultural uses are allowed consistent with SMA and the</p> |

Vision Workshop Meeting Location / Coverage Area

| Lake Wenatchee / Upper Wenatchee Watershed, Malaga / Stemilt-Squilchuck-Colockum Watershed, City of Entiat / Entiat Watershed, City of Chelan / Chelan Watershed | City of Cashmere / City, UGA, and Lower Wenatchee Watershed | City of Chelan / City and UGA | City of Entiat / City and UGA | City of Leavenworth / City and UGA | City of Wenatchee / City and UGA | Recommendations / Portion of Shoreline Master Program where Topic will be Addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| development, e.g., across from 25 Mile Creek • Enough residential and business • Restrooms between Wenatchee and City of Chelan • Community pool or aquatic center • Need uses that promote local economic vitality | | | | | | SMP guidelines. Residential at different densities will be allowed – but consistent with the local comprehensive plans. Recreation uses and support facilities, e.g. restrooms and parking, will be addressed in use policies and regulations. Building height is anticipated to be limited to SMA standards, unless there is an overriding public interest. |

Public Access:

"the public's opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of natural shorelines of the state shall be preserved to the greatest extent feasible consistent with the overall best interest of the state and the people generally."
 (http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sma/st_guide/intro.html)

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shut down Black Lake due to vandalism • Don't want to force public access • Near Alcoa – good area for public access, viewpoints • Lack of good launches south of Rock Island – really steep • Need launch with parking, garbage cans and public access • Require improvement of immediate launch to avoid erosion • No public access north of Rock Island Dam to just south of Wenatchee on the west side of the Columbia River. • Want free public access • Consider purchase property for launch and park in Malaga in partnership with County. • Focus where access occurs, otherwise people make their own • Ravens Wing – get easement for public access • Railroad crossing issues – safety • Need better boat access to Wenatchee River and Lake Wenatchee • Get County public works maps of street ends right of way for public access • Preserve, identify and sign all street ends right of way for public access • Need view corridors • Need more sandy beaches • No vehicle turnoff/viewpoint between | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not enough formal designated spaces for access • Need clear, obvious public access • Area down river – not an official boat launch, need to make it safer • Protect private property • Highway turnoffs for views • Entire Wenatchee River as view corridor • More access for fishing, views, picnics, boating • More maintained access with amenities – dumpster, porta potties • Difficult to access – only six points of public access between Dryden and Wenatchee • People making own access causes safety problems and dike/bank degradation • Formalize mulch center site as access • Mission Creek – needs access • Cashmere dike access • Too little access, e.g., Mission Creek • Rodeo Hole – more public access • Avoid land locked public land – Three Lakes, Malaga is private, no public access • Need highway turnouts • Contained dog park • Historical perspective - interpretive signs and public outreach • Additional access and usage | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prioritize public access opportunities based on use and impact on private land • 3 Fingers Park public access • Want to be able to walk/access lake physically, frequently • Public access/land strictly for public, no private uses • Define public beach access available at low lake level • Improve public lands for accessible public access • Beach areas for children • Non-motorized boat access • Waterfront park dog access areas • Conflicts between permitted private uses on/adjacent to public land • Improve kayak haul out areas • Develop street ends/vacant right-of-ways • Access map for cyclists • Not happy with shorelines – need access – tourism is big part • Alarmed about loss of lake view, access points and corridor preserves • Preserve area west of lakeside as swim lane • Fear we will lose views of lake with fences and buildings • Staggered building heights • Public access/land should be usable, sanctioned – add signage • Camping accessible from parks | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PUBLIC ACCESS IS A PRIORITY FOR ENTIAT! • Trail along waterfront with multiple access points for commercial • Mini parks along waterfront north of existing city park • Public facilities, no exclusive uses • Entiat park with access to swimming beach, pedestrian bridge to islands • Parking, under bridge, does City/PUD have plan? Prior plans unfinished. • Another park on Entiat – canoe, kayak, docks, swimming, water is clean • How about a rustic park by kiosk near the mouth of the Entiat River? • City storage yard – dump wood chips – road/turn around – improve public access • See old PUD park plan – can PUD do more? • Congestion problem at single boat launch • No signage/identification of existing legal public access • No other public access, 20 miles up and down Entiat river • Current access not good, especially when water is down – one dock • Lake view disappearing due to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear signage • Year round golf course access • Purchase additional property in commercial zone • Blackbird reserve to Blackbird Island – any connections punch through 13th • Commercial floaters on Icicle interrupting privacy of private land owners • Need flexibility, fisherman's access, some overgrown – if not in use, flexibility for private properties • Model Europe – all shorelines accessible, trail with fence • Public visual access – make park entries visible • With development, consider views, access • Keep public access at well site for non-commercial rafting or limit numbers • Tax incentives to allow public access • Private land access – liability concern, protect land owners • Want more trails • Trail system along entire shoreline – development restriction • East Leavenworth boat launch • Continuous pedestrian/bicycle paths, outside of right-of-way | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open, easily accessible, natural • More kayak/paddle type access • No new beaches, especially in natural areas • Small beaches okay, e.g., for child access • Need balance – appropriate use in the right place • Minimize environmental impacts • Expand existing facilities rather than building new sites (e.g., boat launches) • When parks designed – consider safety and civility, e.g., tree placement • Could use more lighting near 5th Street • Need access near railroad south – there are access roads but owned by BNSF • Maintain pedestrian bridge for safety • Active access areas away from natural areas • Kayak/tube haul out, Wenatchee River • Make sure access is maintained • Want to maintain loop trail and parks • Want to retain park for all, not just folks that live nearby • Interpretive signage in | <p>Public access provisions will be addressed in all relevant portions of the SMP, particularly those with an asterisk:</p> <p>SMP Contents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Review and revise goals* Conduct inventory & analysis* Determine environment designations Analyze cumulative impacts Develop restoration plan Amend permit provisions General policies and regulations* Modification policies and regulations* Use policies and regulations* Public and agency involvement <p>Public access standards will be developed. Public access is likely to be required with most new shoreline uses, except for single-family residential, particularly when a shoreline trail plan is in effect. Exceptions will be noted, and may include when access would interfere with a use (e.g. water-dependent industrial) or create hazards to life or property. View protection would be addressed through building heights (see Shoreline Use</p> |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|

Vision Workshop Meeting Location / Coverage Area

| Lake Wenatchee / Upper Wenatchee Watershed, Malaga / Stemilt-Squilchuck-Colockum Watershed, City of Entiat / Entiat Watershed, City of Chelan / Chelan Watershed | City of Cashmere / City, UGA, and Lower Wenatchee Watershed | City of Chelan / City and UGA | City of Entiat / City and UGA | City of Leavenworth / City and UGA | City of Wenatchee / City and UGA | Recommendations / Portion of Shoreline Master Program where Topic will be Addressed |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>Chelan and Manson</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access needed both sides of lake • Public access uplake of 25 Mile Creek • Pocket parks • Non-boating access for hiking, biking, horseback riding • Antilon Lake – need hiking opportunities • Micro parks – bike, pedestrian access • More parks equals more boats, more wildlife damage • Identify existing public access sites – street ends, right of way • Kayak areas – non motorized water trails/pathways • Hiking, walking along water • Buoy line for swimmers • Dog friendly access • Need incentives and regulations for view corridor • Need public access along Entiat River and Columbia River • With no clear public access, people make their own pathway across private property without permission • County needs to identify public property and easements along Entiat River, then determine opportunities for more public access • Signage needed for public access points • Need boat launch on Chelan County side of Columbia River • Petition PUD for public area on waterfront near Earthquake Point • Lots of access to forest lands, so there is not necessarily inadequate access locally – just not much “urban” access, more backcountry • Inventory scenic vistas and turnout points (especially above Rocky Reach) • Would like trail from 25 Mile Creek state park to Box [canyon or creek?] • Need a trail along the gorge, all the way to Chelan Falls • Safe pedestrian walkway along water with connectivity to downtown shops • Control off-road vehicles • Concern about Howe Sound dock falling down | <p>stresses the river</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fishing and water craft are conflicting uses • Tubing groups – volume of people on water – environmental issue. Limit use. • Want biking/walking trail connecting Cashmere, Dryden, Peshastin, Leavenworth, Wenatchee • Need formal designated kayak/float launch, other than Recreation Center • Boat launches at Lake Wenatchee, existing is inadequate • Want Rose Lake – “no wake” lake | <p>with reasonable facilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City should develop existing opportunities and purchase additional park land • All public lands maintained for non-motorized boating/swimming • Better signage/maintenance of unmarked access • All uses in short supply, but limited land – use land wisely, find coordinated plan • More trails along lake and down river • Need more use for non-motorized activities • No wake zone in lower 2 miles of Lake • Pedestrian bridge to Leavenworth Road • Add bike lane connections to bridges and Highway 2 • Motorized transportation should be encouraged • Lessen standards for docks to allow for existing dock maintenance. Some docks are falling apart. • Difficult for private owners to make repairs • Not allowed to resurface my dock except if using recycled wood • Narrow channel for travel lanes to buoys • Hold line on boat launches and marinas – too many buoys • New private marinas or dock development – set aside open space • Boats equal sound, gas, smell | <p>vegetation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want viewpoints – signage about wildlife and Entiat • At new access points, need parking with landscaping, benches, etc. • Need lighting, restrooms • No private/exclusive uses • Inappropriate – jet skis, noise • Need to enforce no wake zone at Entiat River – difficult to enforce • Bike and walking trails • Connect waterfront via community loop trail • Want a marina – public and private | | <p>confluence/wetlands areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lacking open/recreation space • Horse Lake Road – south bank of Wenatchee, possible future park area, flat • Irrigation near 5th Street – could be a view point • Need view points • Need marina • Don’t want motorized crafts – want kayaks, canoes at waterfront park • Want small marina, docks – don’t want permanent slips • Want a boathouse to store kayaks, etc. • Connectivity – pedestrian/bicycle – from downtown areas to water across railroad • Want bicycle trails in all directions in northern UGA • Richard Odabashian Bridge – extension of loop trail | <p>above) and shoreline setbacks. Dock, marina, and other in-water structures and activities will be addressed in the SMP. To the extent possible standards will be coordinated with those of other agencies to streamline the process, and the standards will recognize the need to maintain structures for safety.</p> |

Vision Workshop Meeting Location / Coverage Area

| Lake Wenatchee / Upper Wenatchee Watershed, Malaga / Stemilt-Squilchuck-Colockum Watershed, City of Entiat / Entiat Watershed, City of Chelan / Chelan Watershed | City of Cashmere / City, UGA, and Lower Wenatchee Watershed | City of Chelan / City and UGA | City of Entiat / City and UGA | City of Leavenworth / City and UGA | City of Wenatchee / City and UGA | Recommendations / Portion of Shoreline Master Program where Topic will be Addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Columbia River docks and banks – concerned about private use, not protection • Need more public docks and boat launches • Too many private marinas, too many parked boats, affect public enjoyment • Need more boat rental and dock spaces • Find balance between wildlife and proposed marina • Lady of the Lake causes waves | | | | | | |

Environmental Protection:

The SMA is intended to protect shoreline natural resources, including "...the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the water of the state and their aquatic life..." against adverse effects. All allowed uses are required to mitigate adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent feasible and preserve the natural character and aesthetics of the shoreline. (http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/sma/st_guide/intro.html)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inventory state or public lands – protect and preserve those areas • Identify county-owned shoreline property not used for agriculture or residential and purchase it. Create park. • Develop habitat that enhances fishing • Concern about erosion along Columbia River • Concern about access on Upper Stehekin Valley Road • Everyone should be responsible for restoration. • Lake Chelan already 3/4 protected – enough protection • Should continue to be protected under existing [regulations], but don't add more protections • Would like to see shoreline study stay as is – natural – particularly top 30 miles [of lake] – concerns that there are private holdings there, but would prefer to have it remain public • Address littering problem in water and along shoreline • Lower end of Squilchuck, junk scattered in area • Garbage on Columbia River – pressure land owners to clean up • Junk cars around Mason Lakes • Don't want large woody debris • Want waterline to be attractive, no brush • Concern about gas tanks, marinas • Concerns about water quality, aesthetics – appalling development, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Look into Chelan Falls land inventory • More trees for eagle perches, habitat • Salmon spawning grounds near Jarvis Station • Protect Upper Mission Creek, Sand Creek, Mill Pond, Brender Creek, Peshastin, Wenatchee riverfront • Landowner incentives instead of regulations, e.g., Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) lands • Service clubs and volunteerism, volunteer clean up days • Need educational program to help protect natural areas • Concern about what [substance] railroad uses for weed control, fire control • Clean up car junkyards on Riverfront Drive and Mission Creek • Would like garbage, metal debris removed • Enforce removal of trash – less expensive trash removal • Enforcement issues – need to be better mechanism • Be careful how planted buffer and landscaping is done • Dikes near recycling center get degraded because rafters climb/scramble to water • Noxious weed control • Mission Creek – milfoil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve existing conditions as much as possible • Lake is the biggest asset • Need more habitat for fish – concern about [shoreline] sound and activity • Too much large woody debris as mitigation given lake elevation. Improperly placed. Aesthetics and navigation. • During low water levels, old portions of concrete are visible – remove unnatural materials • Increase landscaping, besides grass • Non-motorized – water quality, noise • Water sources, input into Lake Chelan that affects water quality – minimize impacts with landscaping and maintenance; Big polluters – ducks and geese on water and grass. • Need to monitor benzene sources – motor boats, etc. • Safe guards – water quality, garbage • Water quality concerns – drinking water, milfoil • Butte area – limits on development, protect water quality • Milfoil problem just starting – avoid spread • Non-motorized – water quality, noise • Water sources, input into Lake Chelan that affects water quality – | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want Entiat to be natural • Need volunteer involvement – Tree Board • City should be responsible for restoration via plans and cooperation with PUD • What can be done with railroad bed and island? If railroad ties are pulled out, what is liability with creosote, etc.? • Columbia River areas need to be enhanced/restored to natural condition – revegetation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Riparian vegetation is important for atmosphere and environment • Revisit buffers on east [side of Icicle Creek] • Do not allow construction in repetitive flood areas • Can vegetation be thinned to avoid blocking views if mitigated elsewhere? • Beaches important – getting smaller, need to restore vegetation • Erosion – what could be done legally to preserve beaches or public areas? • Houses 25 feet from river – seems too close – other areas have larger buffers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restoration not just responsibility but privilege • In replanting areas, have work parties • Plaque or recognition for helping with restoration • Need education – have kids fall in love with the area • Protect unique areas, but balance other areas for appropriate uses • Protect some distance upstream of confluence/Wenatchee River • Want native plants in shoreline landscapes • Need drought tolerant replanting north of confluence | <p>Environment provisions will be addressed in all portions of the SMP but are most directly found in sections with an asterisk:</p> <p>SMP Contents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Review and revise goals* Conduct inventory & analysis* Determine environment designations* Analyze cumulative impacts* Develop restoration plan* Amend permit provisions* General policies and regulations* Modification policies and regulations* Use policies and regulations Public and agency involvement <p>Environment provisions will incorporate local government critical areas regulations, as amended per GMA best available science requirements.</p> <p>Environment provisions are likely to incorporate by reference State water quality standards and local stormwater management plans.</p> <p>Restoration plans can address management of erosion, and clean up of waste through voluntary and regulatory means.</p> <p>While much of the Restoration Plan component of the SMP</p> |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|

| Vision Workshop Meeting Location / Coverage Area | | | | | | Recommendations / Portion of Shoreline Master Program where Topic will be Addressed |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Lake Wenatchee / Upper Wenatchee Watershed, Malaga / Stemilt-Squilchuck-Colockum Watershed, City of Entiat / Entiat Watershed, City of Chelan / Chelan Watershed | City of Cashmere / City, UGA, and Lower Wenatchee Watershed | City of Chelan / City and UGA | City of Entiat / City and UGA | City of Leavenworth / City and UGA | City of Wenatchee / City and UGA | |
| <p>particularly steep slopes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some eroded banks – responsibility depends on ownership • Storm drain overflow pulling sediment into lake, causing erosion (South Harris Avenue in Manson) • All sediment and pollutants going into lake • Clearing and grading around lake • Visual impacts of erosion – need flexibility to repair, fill waterward of ordinary high water mark | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portions of dike where it has been eroded • Minimize impacts from highway runoff • Protection of floodplains • Avoid salt on roads, use sand • Water crafts on Lake Wenatchee – jet skis – noisy, destroys river edges | <p>minimize impacts with landscaping and maintenance; Big polluters – ducks and geese on water and grass.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to monitor benzene sources – motor boats, etc. • Safe guards – water quality, garbage • Water quality concerns – drinking water, milfoil • Butte area – limits on development, protect water quality • Milfoil problem just starting – avoid spread • 3 Fingers – remove fill and restore to pre-existing conditions, prevent development | | | | <p>update will reference the needs and programs identified by the watershed and sub-basin plans, many of the additional items identified by workshop attendees can also be incorporated.</p> |

APPENDIX A: WORKSHOP COMMENTS, COMMENT CARDS, LETTERS AND EMAILS

A.1 Workshop Comments

The following represents citizen comments gathered during the workshop question and answer session and break-out group discussions. Comments were taken directly from station flip charts (minor edits were made for grammar and clarity). The notes were intended to capture—to the extent possible in an interactive workshop setting—key issues and the overall tone of each group’s discussion. The comments will better inform the project team of community questions, perceptions, concerns and priorities related to current and future shoreline access, use and development.

A.1.1 City of Chelan and UGA

October 21, 2008

Chelan City Hall – 135 E Johnson Avenue

6:00 to 8:00 pm

36 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q How many new docks and boat lifts now and in future?

A Granite Ridge, Good Fellow, Caravel in process now, about 200 slips SMP doesn’t address buoys, City doesn’t have inventory

Q What about on Morse Park?

A Approx 160 slips, council wants to revisit design

Q Is there a map or list of public access sites? Near 3 Fingers?

A Have preliminary inventory and maps. Are working on street ends inventory.

Q Is map of future public access part of process?

A Can identify potential sites. City would need to go through public process. Have City plans for access.

Q Is there going to be vision statement?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A Will use input towards goals, policies and regulations. There will be much public input and decision-maker review. Then will go to [the Washington State Department of] Ecology. City wants to form a local steering committee.

Q Local SMP to be updated?

A Yes. Local SMP that becomes part of state SMP. Will need to integrate state requirements and local input. 3 goals: protect shoreline ecology; encourage water dependent uses; public access. Need to balance goals.

Q What is done to monitor water quality?

A Chelan Hills Div. monitoring. County's Lake Chelan Water Quality Committee. Lake Chelan WRIA not yet developed. SMP will address stormwater/water quality but more focus on development.

Q Will there be more comment opportunity at draft plan stage?

A Yes, more meetings to come. See County web site for details.

Q Surprised at lake level last 2 years/seasons. PUD did lower. Didn't hear about it.

A We encourage participants to sign-in on sheet for future contact. Pass word on to your neighbors.

Q Will we be addressing floating businesses?

A City currently does not allow in UGA. Can be a topic for SMP.

Q Is PUD part of process?

A PUD subject to federal rules. PUD contacted, and involved in County SMP advisory committee.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Parks should remain as is
 - 3 Fingers public access
 - 3 Fingers – park
 - 3 Fingers

- Fear we will lose views of lake with fences and buildings
 - Staggered building heights
 - Want to be able to walk/access lake physically, frequently
 - Public access/land strictly for public, no private uses
 - Define public access to beaches – formed at low lake level
 - Public access/land should be usable, sanctioned – add signage
 - Need parking and public transportation to access points
 - Improve all public lands for accessible public access –eliminate rip-rap
 - Beach areas for children – non motorized boat access
 - Dog access areas – all congregating at USFS – need dedicated space
 - No wake zone in lower 2 miles of Lake
 - Encourage trails along lake and down river
 - More types of upland activities in parks – interpretive signs, Frisbee golf
 - Transitions between water and land uses
 - Better signage/maintenance of unmarked access
 - Waterfront restaurant
 - Chelan gorge
 - Not much area left within city
 - Maintain parks as existing
 - Class 3 stream near Chelan Butte Road
2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.)
- Boating, swimming, kayaking, beach combing, paddle boarding
 - USFS site is popular
 - Sailing, rowing, kayak, swimming, skiing, walking, motorboats, jet skis, biking
 - Woody debris at lakeside limits access and use
 - Dedicated/protected space for non motorized uses – pollution, air quality, health, safety
 - Motorized transportation should be encouraged [at public access points]
 - Can't swim at Campbell's
 - Triathlon training – protected long swim areas

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Low impact tourism
 - Swimming, boating, walking, biking, living, kayaking
 - Don Morse to lakeside trail proposal
 - Dog access
 - It's working
3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
- Not enough
 - Good
 - [Don't want] loss of existing parks or park opportunities
 - Not enough, need more
 - Diminishing
 - Possible expansion (e.g., Darnell's, 3 Fingers)
 - Partnerships with private parties
 - Dog park on waterfront with poop scoop
 - Variety of park types/areas for different uses/users
 - Major local vs. visitor issues
 - Conflicts between permitted private uses on/adjacent to public land
 - Slippery slope governing/regulations – private property development rights
 - City needs to get behind the trail to implement
 - New SMP needs to enable development
 - Still maintain access to existing docks
 - Kayak/bicycle groups want to develop a comprehensive plan
 - Terrible in September with low lake
4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
- Buy 3 Fingers for park
 - PUD beach by water/terrace lakeside
 - Improve kayak haul out areas
 - Parking
 - Develop street ends/vacant right-of-ways to take pressure off major parks

- Map for cyclists to access parks and bike racks
- City should develop existing opportunities and purchase additional park land
- Camping accessible from parks with reasonable facilities – for lower income visitors (e.g., Teanaway)
- Prioritize public access opportunities based on use and impact on private land
- Increase landscaping, besides grass
- USFS ranger station – better physical access to water from lawn area – steps over rip-rap

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - Need year round moorage
 - Need year round water in Lake Chelan
 - Need density requirements on shoreline – we only have so much space
 - Narrow channel for travel lanes to buoys
 - Concern about parking – where will people leave cars and boat trailers?
 - If use goes in [along shoreline] – need to provide parking
 - Need open space
 - Have parks – Plan has acres/population standard
 - Twisted Pearl – water based business. Concerned about noise. City doesn't allow.
 - Need to address scale (e.g., marina)
 - Lake is primary asset. Don't restrict economy. Need more moorage. Need less pressure on public facilities.
 - What will be standards for new docks vs. maintenance?
 - Lessen standards for docks to allow for existing dock maintenance. Some docks are falling apart.
 - Too much large woody debris as mitigation given lake elevation. Improperly placed. Aesthetics and navigation.
 - More public access
 - More habitat
 - If more access, then will have more boats, especially in marinas in summer

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Hold line on boat launches and marinas – too many buoys
- New private marinas or dock development – set aside open space
- Proportional access – could buy access somewhere else
- Boats equal sound, gas, smell
- Would like camping areas – less expensive
- Less multi-storey buildings
- There are no areas for additional high intensity development
- Streamlined permitting, equitable rules. Cost – account for project size, type.
- Distinction between public and private parks. Shortage [of public spaces] and will get worse.
- What about liability for public/private shoreline access? Concern someone would harm themselves.
- Taxes increase on private owners, yet dealing with tourists
- Harder for private owners to make repairs
- Not allowed to resurface my dock except if using recycled wood
- Sailchelan.com – agencies dealing with mitigation
- All uses in short supply, but limited land – use land wisely, find coordinated plan
- Particularly balance in UGA
- Real density of marinas/jet ski areas – need it but there's concern if we extend more
- Can we get zoning on the lake? Co-locate jet skis, marinas, fueling?
- Would it affect water quality?
- Need quiet part of lake to swim
- Although dense in corridors – not well used –jet ski and marina areas could be better configured
- Don't have design review, e.g., Lake House
- Commercial [should] look like commercial, and houses like houses
- Identify districts
- Concern about height blocking views – just under 50 feet
- Future condos – need City plan to protect character – avoid out of scale with adjacent low intensity uses – wedding cake look

- Corridors of marinas, rental, refueling – safety and water quality – avoid swimming in this area
 - Need more separation of uses
 - How will regulations be coordinated – City? PUD?
 - Consider zoning
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
- Water and shoreline congestion – 88 jet skis are too much
 - House boats – need to regulate like mansions in county
 - Too much condo and home development
 - Controversy over trail from Don Morse Park/Water Street
 - Proposed trails conflict with existing parking
 - Treat different beach/access areas differently based on condition, e.g., appropriate sites for camp fires
 - Possible conflicts with uses and drinking water withdrawals
 - Prohibit beach alternation, e.g., digging
 - USFS parking near lake
 - More and more garbage floating on lake – clean it up
 - Docks falling apart – safety – will come out where marina is developed, take out in interim
 - Fill down lake – ship and shore drive-in near lake
 - Avoid blocking view
 - Big box condo has blocked views
 - What is realistic UGA boundary to protect shoreline?
 - Not happy with shorelines – need access – tourism is big part
 - Not making more land – focus on public uses
3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
- Need more habitat for fish – concern about [shoreline] sound and activity
 - Need more use for non-motorized activities
 - Want to see PUD property near Mill Bay – add marina, take traffic out of city

Community Vision Workshop Summary

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION


1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - Commerce around lake within Chelan – quality of lake
 - Alarmed about loss of lake view, access points and corridor preserves
 - Any area that is currently public should remain public, e.g., Park Street
 - Limit buoys at public access points
 - Coordinate parking with public access
 - River walk park – don't allow boat buoys along river
 - Preserve existing conditions as much as possible
 - Water quality concerns – drinking water, milfoil
 - Butte area – limits on development, protect water quality
 - Lake is the biggest asset

2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Encourage and educate private businesses to upgrade their facilities
 - Grants
 - Need water quality study
 - Shoreline requirements that are based on present water quality
 - Stormwater runoff
 - Limit fertilizers
 - Require water testing near marinas and high impact use areas, refueling stations
 - Too many marinas – why are these being permitted?
 - Large demand for boat slips
 - Needs to be more regulations on water quality and monitoring
 - Maintain from lakeside westward
 - Greatest asset is the lake itself
 - Noise pollution and safety
 - Automatic shut-offs for boat refueling
 - Promote electrical boats

- Water quality
 - Public health
 - Geese and ducks affect water quality – look into how City of Seattle handles it
3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
- Chemicals, spray
 - Federal rule on chemicals? Check case
 - Milfoil problem just starting – avoid spread
 - Are there unlimited withdrawals? Discharge waterfront park, pipe at USFS
 - Don Morse Park – beach restoration, City has master plan
 - All public road ends need to be restored and identified – return to natural state
 - Connection to public trails
 - Not enough views – losing views because of condos
 - Parking, access to swimming
 - All public lands maintained for non-motorized boating/swimming
 - Motorized transportation should be encouraged
 - Access not marked at street ends
 - Preserve area west of lakeside as swim lane
 - Non-motorized – water quality, noise
 - Balance
 - Recognize undevelopable areas up lake
 - 3 Fingers – remove fill and restore to pre-existing conditions, prevent development
 - Sand bar, pond that forms, milfoil grows
 - Discharge pipe at USFS
 - Storm water discharge and lake water quality
 - Don't allow 2 cycle motors (boats and jet skis) to protect water quality
 - Noise pollution
 - Hydro planes
 - Enforcement of milfoil introduction
 - Lady of the Lake – pier falling into water

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Bottom of lake – milfoil amount has tripled
 - 3 Fingers
 - Water sources, input into Lake Chelan that affects water quality – minimize impacts with landscaping and maintenance; Big polluters – ducks and geese on water and grass.
 - Need to monitor benzene sources – motor boats, etc.
 - How is water quality enforced?
 - Concern about PUD lake level
 - Concern about river – land use regulations
 - Safe guards – water quality, garbage
4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
- Better than existing
 - Places with rip-rap – look at possibilities to restore and enhance
 - During low water levels, old portions of concrete are visible – remove unnatural materials
 - Large woody debris – concern and need for clean up



Public Access & Recreation

City of Chelan

Public Access, Parks, and Other Public Lands

- 1 Boat Launch
- 2 Botanical Area
- 3 Campground
- 4 Group Site
- 5 Horse Camp
- 6 Interp Site
- 7 Lake Rec Area
- 8 Lookout
- 9 ORV Site
- 10 Organization Site
- 11 Picnic Area
- 12 Rec Residence
- 13 Shelter
- 14 Ski Area
- 15 Snopark
- 16 Trailhead
- 17 Visitor Info Site
- 18 Winter Rec. Facilities
- 19 Fishing Easement with Boatlaunch
- 20 Parks
- 21 Other Public and Protected Lands
- 22 Jurisdiction
- 23 City Boundaries
- 24 UGA Boundaries
- 25 View Corridors
- 26 Hiking Trails
- 27 Proposed Trails
- 28 Snowmobile Trails
- 29 XCounrty Trails

October 2018
 Date of Data Collection: 7/2018
 Data Source: DCE, WAC, ODA, TTL, WNC, Chelan County, WY, WMA, WNS, WWS, THE WATERSHED COMPANY

Disclaimer: Jurisdiction boundaries shown on this map are not intended to represent any specific jurisdiction. The map is for informational purposes only. Additional site-specific evaluation may be required to determine the actual jurisdiction of a site.

0 0.2 0.4 Miles

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A.1.2 City of Wenatchee and UGA

October 22, 2008

Wenatchee Community Center – 504 S Chelan Avenue

6:00 to 8:00 pm

10 Participants

Question and Answer Session

Q What are the three topics we're discussing tonight?

A Shoreline use, public access, environmental protection. Match SMP principles and balance uses.

Q What is in the shoreline jurisdiction?

A 200' landward of ordinary high water mark, associated wetlands and floodways.

Q Last SMP developed in 1975?

A Yes. In 2003 Ecology prepared new shoreline guidelines. It's a 2 to 3 year process [to prepare the SMP update].

Q What is the current policy for grazing cattle?

A In general, existing uses like grazing can continue. If changing a use, then rules apply. The City doesn't allow grazing within city limits. May need to replant if damaging. Most of city waterfront is public. County would need to respond regarding critical areas.

Q Does the SMP address native bees and non-native pollinators?

A SMP doesn't address this. County SMP does support agriculture.

Q Once new SMP is in place, can it be amended?

A Yes. There is an amendment process. Also, periodic evaluation is required. There will be some monitoring requirements on ecological functions.

Q How will no-net-loss of ecological function work?

A Still developing criteria, e.g., riparian vegetation, setbacks, etc.

Q Are we looking at percent standard for public access?

A There are no prescribed standards. Subject to local input.

Q How is SMP funded?

A State grant from Department of Ecology [awarded] to County

Q Can we use volunteers to determine baseline environmental conditions?

A Would need to set standards to ensure methods are scientific, appropriate.

Q There are local scientists that can address native pollinators. There are no criteria [regarding native pollinators] currently.

A SMP can address locally based criteria. Can use available information to set monitoring protocols. SMP focuses on 200 foot jurisdiction, and broader issues.

Q How do we get the City's input? How does this process plug into City plans?

A City has provided adopted plans to consultant team, including the Waterfront Subarea Plan. Many parks exist within the shoreline jurisdiction. Most redevelopment areas are not in the shoreline jurisdiction. Waterfront plan identifies 5 land use areas. See the 2007 Comprehensive Plan. The Waterfront plan has diagrams. Some development has occurred. Will incorporate the current Waterfront plan for consistency.

Q Use of shoreline for education – can this be part of SMP?

A Yes. Have only developed an inventory at this point. Will be preparing analysis and draft policies and regulations. Education is part of public access.

Q Is there an outline of how (and what percent of) land will develop?

A Suggest review of Waterfront plan.

Q Will City have its own SMP?

A Yes. Part of regional effort. Each city will have their own chapter, outlining local issues.

Q Are there similar meetings on the other side of river?

A Douglas County is nearly finished with their SMP. Okanogan is a little ahead. Yakima has submitted their plan. Chelan County is an early adopter in order to obtain funding, otherwise SMP due in 2013.

Q What is the adoption process?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A After local adoption, the SMP will be sent to Ecology. Ecology has time to review, comment, adopt.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Open, easily accessible, natural
 - Inclusive
 - Marina
 - More kayak/paddle type access
 - No new beaches, especially in natural areas
 - Small beaches okay, e.g., for child access
 - Need balance – appropriate use in the right place
 - Minimize environmental impacts
 - Expand existing facilities rather than building new sites (e.g., boat launches)
2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.)
 - Biking, swimming, running, bird watching, boat access
3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
 - Feel good about waterfront access today – want to keep it
 - Good!
 - Part of Wenatchee charm
 - Quiet, people walking or biking, feels safe
 - Waterfront plan promotes retention of parks
 - When parks designed – consider safety and civility, e.g., tree placement
 - Could use more lighting near 5th Street
 - Does City solicit help for cleanup?
 - Cleanliness part of design process – City uses inmate workers for maintenance
4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?

- Area near confluence, private or public? Some properties near park are owned by PUD, other are private property
- Any more trails? Unlikely to expand near wetlands.
- Near railroad south – there are access roads but owned by Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) – residents have continual access
- Need to maintain pedestrian bridge for safety – City is studying
- Senator George Sellar Bridge – adding public access – cantilevered on one side – no north sidewalk – may not be able to access both sides in short term
- Active access areas away from natural areas
- Kayak/tube haul out, Wenatchee River

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - Want copies of park and recreation maps (County will consider providing hard copies or CD at print shop; web links available on line)
 - Have zero public access across Highway 2
 - Stemilt – may do some restoration in 200 foot area
 - Area south of bridge – lot owned by BNSF. Some provide ownership south. PUD may control.
 - Will still be maintaining parks?
 - There are no restaurants on water – need some
 - Lacking open/recreation space
 - Make sure access is maintained
 - Want a marina
 - Waterfront restaurant
 - Maintain natural character/landscape
 - Plenty of parks/trails currently
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
 - Concern about value of waterfront property – City has some concessionaries. Will see some restaurants near Convention Center.
 - Skate area will become mixed use. City close to completing sale. Area can go to 90 foot under regulations.

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Don't want motorized crafts – want kayaks, canoes at waterfront park
 - Currently nothing on shoreline is inappropriate
3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
- Want to maintain loop trail and parks
 - New development will need to provide parking
 - Parking will likely be located 200 foot away to avoid additional permit costs
 - New condos have underground parking – still expensive
 - Want to retain park for all to use, not just folks that live nearby – there are lots on PUD property
 - Want to see small marina, docks – don't want permanent slips
 - City is in permitting for dock – river too swift for marina
 - Will boathouse be developed? Part of pedestrian overlay.
 - Want a boathouse to store kayaks, etc.
 - View protection
 - Go to statues of coyotes – area for views, Walla Walla Park
 - City moving in December 2008. Current public works property for sale. Are there height restrictions?
 - Limited additional water oriented commercial – kayak rental, fishing guides
 - Interpretive signage in confluence/wetlands areas
 - Connectivity – pedestrian/bicycle – from downtown areas to water across railroad
4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
- No, except water oriented marina and education center
 - What is high intensity development? Industrial, higher building heights
5. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of shoreline use and development?
- Hard to envision anything in 20 years, other than industrial north of Wenatchee

- Want bicycle trails in all directions in northern UGA
 - Richard Odabashian Bridge – extension of loop trail
 - Don't want bunch of hotels near parks – waterfront parks require mixed use
 - Confluence – will it be touched? No. State park owned for wildlife and recreation.
 - Other areas north bank of Wenatchee – high bank, less likely to develop in city/UGA
 - Limited and regulated
 - Shoreline sacred
 - Waterfront last place for development
6. What do you like best about your community waterfront now?
- Open and available – lots of parks
 - Clean, well maintained
7. What concerns you most about your community waterfront now?
- Land south of the Senator George Sellar bridge

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
- Near 5th Street, part of foothills
 - Horse Lake Road – south bank of Wenatchee, possible future park area, flat
 - Confluence area
 - Protect unique areas, but balance other areas for appropriate uses
 - Protect some distance upstream of confluence/Wenatchee River
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
- Need education – have kids fall in love with the area
 - Volunteer for shoreline, e.g., Chelan-Douglas Land Trust
 - Regulations
3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Areas for restoration – south side of bike trail (see map)
 - Is City helping homeless? City has community planner focused on programs.
 - Need replanting north of confluence – drought tolerant plants – 2 irrigation pumps grand-fathered
 - Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has restoration experts in town
 - Irrigation near 5th Street – City should improve, could be a view point. Does PUD have access? Yes, near tourist beach. Would need to screen in “off hours”. Kids accessing/jumping.
 - Who should do restoration? Not just responsibility but privilege – would like private involvement
 - Development should mitigate?
 - In replanting areas, have work parties
 - Plaque or recognition for helping with restoration
 - Involve the kids
 - South of Senator George Sellar bridge (see map)
 - Railroad public access
4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
- No worse than it is today and better
 - Showcase native flora and fauna
 - Areas for lighting in public access areas and trails
 - Ensure adequate, aesthetic lighting but shielding so it doesn't impact neighborhoods
 - Term “environmental” – may be better to say “habitat” or other word
 - Maintain natural shoreline
 - Want balance
 - Want native plants in shoreline landscapes

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A.1.3 City of Cashmere and UGA / Lower Wenatchee Watershed

October 23, 2008

Cashmere Riverside Center – 201 Riverside Drive

6:00 to 8:00 pm

28 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q What time of year is 20 cubic feet per second (cfs) measured?

A It's taken from average annual flow rates. 10 years of data and model to calibrate.

Q Is the City/County dealing with Mission Creek?

A Yes, in Cashmere, shorelines include Mission Creek and the Wenatchee River. Several more streams and lakes in Basin, Countywide there are about 130 waterbodies considered in SMP update.

Q What is definition of wetland? Mill Pond?

A Ecology defines it by soil type, amount and location of water, vegetation. Look at soils and NWI inventory. Wetlands in floodplain and within 200 feet.

Q Who is responsible for dikes?

A Constructed in 1930s and 1940s, deeded to Cashmere when highway was aligned.

Q Are dikes open to public access?

A Yes, when the dike is located on public property.

Q If water body doesn't qualify for shoreline jurisdiction, may still have wetlands, riparian?

A SMP focuses on jurisdictional streams, lakes – and associated wetlands.

Q Who is responsible for cleaning water bodies, e.g., car parts, etc.

A Not City responsibility. Would notify WDFW. Ecology handles water quality.

Q Is trash part of river?

A Not City jurisdiction. City or County calls agencies. Responsibility not clear. Happy to have volunteers. Part of SMP will address restoration opportunities.

Q Will shoreline rules become more restrictive?

A It is early in the process. It is possible. Need to be consistent with other agency rules/regulations. Will be considering Ecology guidelines.

Q Any involvement of railroad, highway department?

A WSDOT representative is on SMP Advisory Committee. Will look at adding Railroad representatives.

Q Are there major changes since 1975 SMP?

A Current SMP omits several uses which means more process. Plan to identify uses and rules. Want to provide more certainty about allowed uses, e.g., boat lifts in Lake Chelan and pier regulations.

Q Are rules set up by Ecology or legislature?

A Ecology rules implement state law. Rules not adopted by legislature. Rules are located in the WAC [Washington Administrative Code].

Q Is the 200 foot designation a buffer?

A It's a zone, subject to SMP. Not necessarily a buffer or set back.

Q Is restoration scheduled?

A There are 3 watershed plans. SMP will incorporate these projects. Watershed subcommittees have developed projects.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Access for public use not always well defined. Obvious at park. Not a safe area for swimming. Need a defined use. Discourage sending children down. Safety is an issue.
 - Not enough formal designated spaces for access
 - Need clear, obvious public access
 - Area down river – not an official boat launch, need to make it safer
 - Where are city limits? Near Mission Creek or bridge?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Peshastin – groomed, clean, landscaped access
 - Point on Wenatchee River – Dryden Dam, Peshastin
 - Protect private property
 - Everyone understands ownership and access rights
 - Railroad commitment for involvement in shoreline – protection, management, stewardship
 - Better developed, marked access with amenities – dumpster, porta potties
 - More trees for eagle perches, habitat
 - Highway turnoffs for views
 - River trail between cities
 - Entire Wenatchee River as view corridor
 - More access for fishing, views, picnics, boating
 - More maintained access with amenities
 - Would like garbage, metal debris removed
2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.)
- Walking, biking, swimming, bird watching, tubing, fishing, rafting, kayaking, gold panning
 - Wenatchee River – fishing, kayaking, wildlife, scenic views
3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
- Sleepy Hollow and Rodeo – use for enjoying water
 - Access problems at Sleepy Hollow bridge during summer
 - Want less trash – keep river accesses clean
 - Concern about what [substance] railroad uses for weed control, fire control
 - Mission Creek – debris and garbage
 - Contact City about dirt falling off dike, erosion
 - Pressure WFDW to allow fishing
 - Add trails in lower area – there are trails in upper area
 - In 1958 PUD acquired accesses

- Difficult to access – only six points of public access between Dryden and Wenatchee
 - People making own access causes safety problems and dike/bank degradation
4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
- Official access at Mission Creek/Wenatchee River launch area
 - Formalize mulch center site as access – parking available
 - Mission Creek – needs access
 - Cashmere dike access
 - Too little access, e.g., Mission Creek
 - Rodeo Hole – more public access

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
- Need public access for fishing – Rodeo hole – so many kayakers, but need fishing permit
 - Need access for non-fishing
 - Game department purchased for fishing – rafters have taken over, haven't followed permits
 - Would like to limit rafters
 - Lake Wenatchee, huge line of boats – owe to limited fishing
 - Add restrooms in high use areas
 - Need greater habitat, open space and recreation – priorities
 - Like to see less business and less commercial, e.g., concrete plant, warehouses
 - Will set backs be different for city or County?
 - Path on dike, but deed extended to middle of river – can't use top of dike
 - Agriculture – use of pesticides
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
- Every Wenatchee River bridge is used for public access – inappropriate public access, parking problems (kids at Sleepy Hollow)

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- House next to dike – can see rafters, hundreds go by. Rafters walk on dike, knock off dirt and vegetation into yard – no respect
 - Inappropriate use near Blewett Pass/Highway 97 near Peshastin Creek – would like to move road out of flood plain
 - Protection of floodplains
 - Avoid salt on roads, use sand
 - Mission Creek near Wenatchee – launch area seems inappropriate
 - Car junkyards on Riverfront Drive and Mission Creek – need clean up
 - Jarvis launch inappropriate – salmon spawning
 - Railroad too close to water
 - Not happy if [public] access 10 feet from house – area where photographers go – want privacy
 - Problems with anticipated gold panners
 - Is log jam removal for safety? Yes, removal of debris and garbage for safety
 - Jet skis on Columbia River and Lake Wenatchee – don't want on Wenatchee
 - Water crafts on Lake Wenatchee – jet skis – noisy, destroys river edges
 - Tubing groups – volume of people on water – is this an environmental issue? Other areas are limited.
3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
- Leavenworth to Wenatchee trail for biking/walking
 - Need formal designated kayak/float launch, other than Recreation Center
 - Better access for non-fishing users at Rodeo Hall/Sleepy Hollow
 - Boat launches at Lake Wenatchee, existing is inadequate
 - Liked Cougar Inn on Lake Wenatchee – now private home – miss it
 - Restaurants, resorts – make nice development that takes advantage of scenery
 - Might be good to have a waterfront hotel or restaurant – benefit the City
 - Golf course might be detrimental
 - Want trails
 - Have one on Love Lane Bed & Breakfast
 - Avoid land locked public land –Three Lakes, Malaga is private, no public access

- Want Rose Lake – “no wake” lake
 - Fishermen access opposite side
 - Want trail connecting Cashmere, Dryden, Peshastin, Leavenworth, Wenatchee – probably some resistance – safety, orchardists, pets
 - Want designated fishing access
 - Parking – is it enough? Sleepy Hollow Bridge
 - Need highway turnouts
 - Contained dog park
4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
- No economic, commercial uses on waterfront
 - No need for high intensity development
 - Wenatchee River already developed – put resorts in developed areas

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
- Salmon spawning grounds near Jarvis Station
 - Resuscitate Lake Jarvis – west side of Aplets Way Bridge
 - Mission Creek (near 800 Mission Creek Road)
 - Wenatchee River waterfront east of boat launch – more riparian planting on slopes
 - Sleepy Hollow – trash and more parking
 - Upper Mission Creek and Sand Creek
 - Limited amounts of public access
 - Mill Pond, Brender Creek
 - Below bridge and Peshastin
 - Brender Creek between River, Evergreen Drive and No Name Creek (Mill Pond area)
 - Mission Creek
 - Wenatchee Riverfront – from mulching center to end of city limits

Community Vision Workshop Summary

2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Trash bins at Rodeo Hole
 - Historical perspective - interpretive signs and public outreach
 - Limit development in those areas or specific types, e.g., cabin vs. subdivisions
 - Landowner incentives instead of regulations, e.g., Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) lands
 - Volunteerism as a backup – can't rely solely on [City/County]
 - No dumping along river
 - Enforcement issues – need to be better mechanism
 - Service clubs and volunteerism, volunteer clean up days
 - Using high school students to help – community service
 - Existing City regulations to protect areas
 - Public outreach and community-based clean up opportunities
 - Adopt a stretch of river – projects and groups
 - Be careful how planted buffer and landscaping is done
 - Need educational program to help protect
 - Enforce removal of trash – less expensive trash removal

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
 - Dikes near recycling center get degraded because rafters climb/scramble to water
 - Juvenile lake, west of Aplets Way
 - Log storage area near Ingalls Creek (a tributary to Peshastin Creek) near Valley-Hi.
 - Blewett Pass, sharp curves, road cut banks
 - Noxious weed control
 - Junction of Sand Creek and Mission Creek
 - Large metal in river
 - Railroad land
 - Think water quality is pretty good
 - Mission Creek – milfoil

- Mess at top of Mission Creek – dump area
 - Portions of dike where it has been eroded
 - Rafting companies
 - Log jams placed near Monitor Park, before Sleepy Hollow bridge
4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
- No garbage in rivers
 - Landowner coordination – orchard or homes
 - Lack of public access
 - Trail system great idea
 - Return of land in natural area – state to public land
 - Minimize impacts from highway runoff
 - Would look at lot like it does now
 - More trees
 - Dredged

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A.1.4 Upper Wenatchee Watershed

The meeting format for this workshop was different from the other eight, with the purpose being a joint meeting to discuss the County's efforts to evaluate water quality in Lake Wenatchee and the SMP workshop. County staff began meeting at 9:30 a.m. with the water quality portion of the meeting. The purpose was to update participants on the status of the work taking place in Lake Wenatchee with a presentation from the consultant that is conducting a baseline survey of the lake. The consultant will be developing a monitoring plan over the next couple of months. At 11:15 a.m., the Shoreline Master Program Workshop portion of the workshop began with a 20-minute question and answer session. Participants were invited to visit one of the three stations (Public Access and Recreation; Shoreline Use and Development; and Environmental Protection) and respond to the topic-specific questions. Approximately 80 percent of the participants chose to provide input at the Shoreline Use and Development station. The remainder of the group provided comments at the Environmental Protection station. No participants provided comments at the Public Access and Recreation station. The County posted the workshop questions on the LakeWenatcheeinfo.com Web site and encouraged participants to submit additional input online if interested.

October 25, 2008

Lake Wenatchee Recreation Club – 14400 Chiwawa Loop Road

11:00 am to 12:30 pm

39 participants

Break-Out Group Discussion

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - Too much removal of riparian vegetation along shorelines by landowners (e.g. tree cutting).
 - Too many Beach/Community Clubs along Lake (both formal & informal)
 - Too much impervious surfaces impacting runoff – clearing and grubbing
 - Maintain open spaces and parks – possibly add a dog park to area
 - Access is both a +/-, parking is an issue
 - Not enough restrooms or facilities

2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
 - Concerns about future multi-family and commercial uses
 - Noise pollution (e.g. jet skis, boats, music from boats)
 - Concerns about development outside of shorelines
 - Light pollution
 - Boat refueling – there are no places where it's contained and safe. Educate on ways to do it yourself safely

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - South shore water source – drinking water from creek (public health concern) – several on north shore
 - Spraying along roads near water (County)
 - Clearing Issues (homeowners insurance) – could be helped through education (e.g., how much is okay?)
 - White River
 - Smaller lakes (e.g. Hidden Lake)
 - Fish Lake – wetlands
 - Lake Wenatchee – north shore west of YMCA camp – existing shore is in good condition, owned by UW?
 - Private Property preservation – opportunities through Chelan-Douglas Land Trust (CDLT)
 - Forest Service property on north shore Lake Wenatchee – keep as much of existing natural condition as possible and preserve
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - CDLT through Conservation Easements
 - Education – mailings, newspapers, radio, websites, better education on regulations
 - Better education would lead to less need for regulation

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Important to provide information and education early enough in the process
 - Awareness of impacts to neighbors.
3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
- Land clearing outside of shoreline impacts shorelines and streams
 - Some individual landowners
 - Noxious weeds
 - Riparian areas
4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
- Maintain native vegetation as much as possible
 - Enjoy and appreciate the current mix of public and private, variety of access (campgrounds and nice homes), variety of economics, YMCA, Campfire, etc.
 - Limit future use: commercial and high density
 - Volunteer Programs for kids to do some work would help build appreciation/stewardship

A.1.5 City of Leavenworth and UGA

October 27, 2008

Leavenworth City Hall – 700 Highway 2

6:00 to 8:00 pm

27 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q Will there be a contractor working on the channel migration zones (CMZ)?

A CMZ study for Wenatchee is complete. Can use available information. May identify potential data gap.

Q Any new federal guidelines to consider?

A State SMP guidelines and laws mostly apply. Will consider relevant federal laws for consistency. City will address critical areas.

Q What time of year was 20 cfs determined?

A We have used USGS report/data. 20 cfs (cubic feet per second) is mean annual flow based on regression model. Includes wet and dry years, 1970s to 1980s. Rolled in other available data. USGS best available info. County is investigating several waterbodies to confirm.

Q A lot of proposed jurisdictions are on federal lands. How will this impact the study?

A Private development on federal lands would be subject to the SMP. Fairly rare.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Clear signage
 - Access to golf course year round
 - Continuous pedestrian/bicycle paths, outside of right-of-way
 - Purchase additional property in commercial zone
 - East Leavenworth boat launch
 - Blackbird reserve to Blackbird Island – any connections punch through 13th

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Float, use of river
 - Commercial floaters on Icicle interrupting privacy of private land owners
 - Need flexibility, fisherman's access, some overgrown – if not in use, flexibility for private properties
 - Model Europe – all shorelines accessible, trail with fence
2. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
- Do not allow construction in repetitive flood areas
 - Require Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rated building design on shorelines
 - Trail system along entire shoreline – development restriction
 - Scale buildings and set them back in areas directly adjacent to park areas – require buffering
 - Public visual access – make park entries visible
 - Viewpoints – Leavenworth good heights
 - Commercial street – could create views
 - Good views from golf course
 - With development, consider views, access
 - Preservation of scale is important – keep scale
 - Best view from Blackbird Island
 - Riparian vegetation is important for atmosphere and environment
3. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
- Pedestrian bridge to Leavenworth Road
 - Keep public access at well site for non-commercial rafting or limit numbers
 - Provide public access into F&W property on East Leavenworth Road – Fish Hatchery
 - Add bike lane connections to bridges and Highway 2
 - More managed access
 - Blackbird Island vegetation management for safety, balance
 - Can vegetation be thinned to avoid blocking views if mitigated elsewhere?
 - If managed, is there an area for wildlife

- Only golf course – used by golfers or skiers in winter – make accessible to walkers
- Add trails
- Scotland – no such thing as trespassing – land open for walking/hiking, but must respect owners' land, keep gates closed, etc.
- Consider fisherman's access
- Houses on river bend – have to allow public access
- Beaches important – getting smaller, need to restore vegetation
- Valley trail, Leavenworth to Wenatchee
- Tax incentives to allow public access
- Private land access – liability concern, protect land owners

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT


1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - Like what we have
 - Better park system maintenance
 - Continue trail on golf course (winter and summer)
 - Will SMP include buffers? Revisit buffers on east [side of Icicle Creek]
 - Houses 25 feet from river – seems too close – other areas have larger buffers
 - Much shoreline is public and won't change
 - Would be nice to have restaurant on waterfront
 - Want pedestrian connection from Blackbird Island to golf course
 - Barn Beach – favorite
 - KOA campground is a favorite – can wade when water is low – no public access across
 - Want to see more trails
2. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
 - No high intensity uses!

Community Vision Workshop Summary

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - PUD park – keep natural
 - Clean up well site, promote non-motorized access
 - Blackbird Island – habitat restoration on north side, protect south side from erosion
 - Erosion – what could be done legally to preserve beaches or public areas?
 - Chumstick Creek – Byron Village
 - Avoid over development of Chumstick Creek

2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Best protection reasonable, regulations w/purchase
 - Patrick Walker, Chelan-Douglas Land Trust
 - Run ditches year round, produce energy
 - Mini golf area additional development – is there an erosion concern?



Direct (private) stairway?


Public parking

City easement

Beach areas

Public preserve potential

Improve access



| Public Access, Parks, and Other Public Lands | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

© 2014 City of Leavenworth. All rights reserved. This map is for informational purposes only and is not intended for planning purposes only. Additional site-specific evaluation may be required to confirm the information shown on this map.



Legend

- Jurisdiction
- City Boundaries
- UGA Boundaries

0 1000 Feet

October 2018
Data: GIS Data
City of Leavenworth
City of Leavenworth
City of Leavenworth

Shoreline jurisdiction boundaries depicted on this map are approximate. They have not been surveyed and are therefore for planning purposes only. They may be modified continuously throughout the project.

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A.1.6 City of Entiat and UGA

October 28, 2008

Entiat Grange Hall – 14108 Kinzel Road

6:00 to 8:00 pm

13 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q Does PUD have a role in SMP update?

A PUD doesn't have jurisdiction; cities and County have jurisdiction. PUD is a stakeholder and has some regulations associated with SMP. PUD has review/permit responsibilities for waterfront. All reservoirs under PUD, e.g., marina, dock – need multiple permits, including City shoreline permit and other agency permits

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Trail along waterfront with multiple access points for commercial
 - Mini parks along waterfront north of existing city park
 - Public facilities, no exclusive uses
 - Entiat park with access to swimming beach, pedestrian bridge to islands
 - Marinas – public with full services like fueling, pump out, restroom, waterfront restaurant
 - Want a marina – public and private
 - Bike and walking trails
 - Connect waterfront via community loop trail
 - Main concern – Entiat
 - Parking, under bridge, does City/PUD have plan? Prior plans unfinished.
 - Another park on Entiat – canoe, kayak, docks, swimming, water is clean
 - Want Entiat to be natural
 - How about a rustic park by kiosk near the mouth of the Entiat River?
 - Was once used for ice skating

- City storage yard – dump wood chips – road/turn around – improve public access
 - See old PUD park plan – can PUD do more?
 - Dock will be redone with re-licensing
 - How is WDFW involved in process? Permit conditions?
 - Balance habitat and development
2. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
- Pretty lousy, except at park
 - Congestion problem at single boat launch
 - Waterfront plan will help remedy areas north of park
 - Limited access
 - No signage/identification of existing legal public access (up Entiat River watershed)
 - No other public access, 20 miles up and down Entiat river
 - Current access not good, especially when water is down – one dock
 - Lake view disappearing due to vegetation
3. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
- PUBLIC ACCESS IS A PRIORITY FOR ENTIAT!
 - Complement each other, design priority
 - Want viewpoints – signage about wildlife and Entiat
 - Restore near museum/old highway – do as part of park area
 - At new access points, need parking with landscaping, benches, etc.
 - Restrooms needed – Columbia and Entiat Rivers
 - Loop trail with parking
 - Need lighting
 - Materials to prevent vandals
 - Security/enforcement can be costly

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- There will be
 - There's not much of anything
 - Residential adequate
 - Missing retail/restaurant businesses and public access
 - Have all four uses, including agriculture
 - Don't have enough businesses – have land but no business
 - Have enough residential – in plan projecting 300 to 400
 - Inappropriate – jet skis, noise
 - Need to enforce no wake zone at Entiat River – difficult to enforce
 - Sand bar – people come when water level is low for place to play
 - Next to railroad – more business may be good – industrial convert to business
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
- Would like to move railroad tracks – barrier
 - No manufacturing
 - No detrimental use, waste producing, e.g., stock, junk yards
 - No private/exclusive uses
3. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
- Yes, waterfront plan boundaries
 - No high intensity uses along Entiat, just parking to support access to trailheads
4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of shoreline use and development?
- See waterfront plan and parks plans, including Antiaqua on Entiat River
 - The possibilities!
 - Make sure SMP doesn't preclude City from implementing its waterfront vision
5. What concerns you most about your community waterfront now?
- Lack of access, use, development

- Non restrictive use benefits public
- [Entiat has had] 50 years of isolation

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - Columbia River areas need to be enhanced/restored to natural condition – revegetation
 - Entiat not currently natural
 - Favorite place – swimming hole
 - City park and dock area
 - Tie together with trail at mouth of Entiat River
 - Pateros – good example outside of Entiat – PUD park
 - Walla Walla Park in Wenatchee [good example]
 - Chelan Falls
 - Chelan park on river
 - Waterfront plan – need theme or style to tie together
 - Need amphitheater
 - So many meetings – when will PUD park happen? Once license signed, then permits – infrastructure expensive.
 - Too late to protect more – new development
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Through implementation of waterfront and park plans
 - Need volunteer involvement – Tree Board
 - City developing regulations to implement waterfront plan
 - PUD plans have shown amphitheater
 - Money not stretching far – need grants
 - Hotel is first step to bringing houses and tourists
 - Like vegetation planted for mitigation
 - Document what’s been planted
 - Can they be relocated?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
 - All currently degraded
 - What can be done with railroad bed and island? PUD owns it? Leave natural area, but add pedestrian access.
 - If railroad ties are pulled out, what is liability with creosote, etc.?
 - Railroad – restore, trade off for marina
 - Can vegetation be managed – need mitigation
 - Where is shoreline jurisdiction in the water body?
 - Who governs old railroad bed?
 - If dock extends, need to lease land?
 - PUD has to follow federal guidelines, deeds
 - Can we clarify ownership and permit process? User guide?
 - When can citizens comment on PUD rules? Need to know what the rules are. Notification if rules are changing.
 - Surprised that we need permits for buoys – need permanent buoys, less impact than temporary. Require open space in new development.
 - Incorporate viewpoints, small parks like Wenatchee PUD
 - Replace top soil
 - Need embankment
 - Beautification
 - Who's responsible? Developers follow rules, not volunteer
 - Develop recommendations and funding – work with WDFW
 - Entiat – problems with beavers – plant willow and then it's gone
 - City should be responsible for restoration via plans and cooperation with PUD

Public Access & Recreation

City of Entiat



Waterfront park potential

← Bridge?

← Take out old foundation

| Public Access, Parks, and Other Public Lands | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Some information has been provided for planning purposes only. Additional site-specific evaluation may be required to confirm information shown on this map.

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A.1.7 Stemilt-Squilchuck Watershed

October 29, 2008

Malaga Fire Hall – 3760 West Malaga Road

6:00 to 8:00 pm

10 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q How will we address docks? Columbia River is different than other water bodies.

A There will be different regulations, depending on use and purpose.

Q Does SMP go to federal agencies for review?

A No, the SMP is a state and local partnership. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has its own jurisdiction.

Q If no wetlands today, but due to beaver activity one forms, will SMP apply?

A Critical Areas Ordinance will apply. County uses NWI mapping. Would take a while to form wetland.

Q Is there time limit?

A Depends if wetland meets 3 criteria: vegetation, soils, hydrology. May require a report to delineate.

Q Who decides what to do with beavers?

A Multiple agencies, potentially. Most likely State WDFW, DNR.

Q Would reservoirs need permits to work on banks?

A SMP not designed to limit irrigation districts maintaining facilities.

Q Is the SMP focused on Chelan County or are other jurisdictions/counties involved?

A All counties are required to prepare an SMP. Each plan varies depending on local conditions and vision. All SMPs must meet state guidelines.

Q Is SMP creating loopholes for development?

A SMP will have use environments to identify appropriate use

Q Bank erosion on Columbia River becoming a problem. Encourage County to obtain funding for restoration. Lack of roots/bonding due to boating.

A SMP will address restoration. Incorporate watershed planning. County working on programmatic permit. SMP has exemption for restoration.

Q Does Ecology have funds for restoration?

A Not aware of any. SMP could be used to apply for other funding sources such as SRFB (Salmon Recovery Funding Board).

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - SMP doesn't change ownership, but will identify possible need or locations
 - District has shut off access due to vandalism
 - Would like to shut down Black Lake due to vandalism
 - Don't want to force public access
 - Who assumes liability?
 - Squilchuck doesn't meet CFS [cubic feet per second] – investigating Colockum
 - Sometimes new development has requirement to provide public access – consider safety
 - Near Alcoa – good area for public access, viewpoints
 - Lack of good launches south of Rock Island – really steep
 - Not crazy about some jet skiers
 - Need launch with parking, garbage cans and public access – Idaho public garbage is free, not so much junk
 - Require improvement of immediate launch to avoid erosion

2. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
 - Current parks under-served
 - No public access north of Rock Island Dam to just south of Wenatchee on the west side of the Columbia River.
 - Walla Walla Park – good example of keeping green

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Want free public access – we don't go to confluence because of \$5 fee
- Below Frosty Hanson – does Grant County PUD have jurisdiction?
- Nice launch below dam, but not accessible any longer – from dam up, there's nothing
- There will be growth in next 20 years – need to plan appropriately
- There's a lot of undeveloped industrial property
- Consider purchase property for launch and park in Malaga in partnership with County
- Focus where access occurs, otherwise people make their own
- Ravens Wing – get easement for public access
- Railroad crossing issues – safety
- Need better boat access to Wenatchee River and Lake Wenatchee

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - See Malaga Vision Plan
 - No multifamily units, so design as rural river front – small lot, single family
 - Favorite places – Hydro Park – no congestion
 - Hydro Park – erosion is a problem due to boat wakes, etc.
 - Tarpsican Road launch – dog access, swimming
 - Squilchuck – fishing
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
 - Litter, homeless people
3. What other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
 - Development that enhances fishing – build habitat
 - No more waterfront homes
 - Protect existing agriculture
4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?

- Areas suitable for high intensity development – Lake Entiat on Entiat side of Columbia River
- Orondo for high intensity recreation and support facilities, e.g., fueling

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - All reservoirs have to meet Ecology dam safety – may not have vegetation
 - Is there a conflict between dam safety and shoreline rules?
 - Trees blow over then cause erosion – need native vegetation
 - See WRIA 40a plan
 - Control off-road vehicles – tearing up meadows and low lying areas, going near water and causing siltation in the Stemilt Basin and on Birch Mountain
Need real consequences for crime/vandalism along public property
 - Need to address littering problem in water and along shoreline
 - Inventory of state or public lands – protect and preserve those areas
 - Assessor has ownership map in GIS
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Offer rewards and incentives, e.g., game offers points to turn in poachers
 - Why do we need a reward to do the right thing?
 - County owns some property. Identify shoreline property not used for agriculture or residential and purchase it. Put in a park. May add value.
 - Local fundraisers?
 - Make it a partnership
 - Does Alcoa have property available for sale?
 - How about Adopt-a-Stream/Reservoir/Lake? Like the Wenatchee Valley Fly Fisherman, Spring Hill Reservoir
 - Incentives for private owners to preserve? It works.
 - Have improved roads, but makes it easier to get in and impact natural areas
3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Erosion along Columbia River
- Lower end of Squilchuck, junk scattered in area
- Garbage on Columbia River – pressure land owners to clean up
- Who should be responsible? Everyone.
- Make a joint effort – County doesn't have the money to do it alone
- Need land owner involvement
- County Natural Resource Department (NRD) has money for restoration projects
- Need volunteers
- Have a Clean Up Day
- Involve interested groups, e.g., bicyclists
- Local business could help – donations
- Bring kids out
- County needs to advertise positive restoration activities completed or in progress
- Take inmate work crew to help clean up areas
- 2-week event to get community help
- AmeriCorps could help coordinate volunteers

A.1.8 Lake Chelan Watershed

October 30, 2008

Chelan Fire Hall – 232 East Wapato Avenue

6:00 to 8:00 pm

25 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q How different from City workshop – area of coverage

A City workshop covered City and UGA, this workshop covers the Chelan watershed area outside of the City and its UGA.

Q State approval?

A Yes, State (Ecology) will approve the plan and certain permits (Conditional Use and Variance). Project funded by a grant from Washington Department of Ecology

Q Dock, seawall?

A Yes, SMP will continue to govern these activities, add consistency with other agencies

Q New rules?

A Yes, RCW requirements

Q State rules flexible?

A Some are; others not. Set a baseline with this plan.

Q Effect of rules, current and new?

A New rules still to be developed, some requirements will increase because of State requirements. Major objective is to streamline permitting process, increase consistency with other agency requirements, and reduce ambiguity. Existing SMP will be compared to new rules and results shared with public.

Q State, federal and county coordination?

A Yes, the goal is to clean up and simplify process, increase consistency.

Q Existing structures?

Community Vision Workshop Summary

A Existing structures and uses may continue as before. Modifications (other than standard repair and maintenance) and new structures/uses need review new rules. Those exempt continue as exempt.

Q Septic systems?

A Covered in two areas – watershed/water quality and SMP.

Q Set back, existing and new?

A Not changing. Buffers established in County critical areas regulations apply.

Q Building permits, contamination of the lake?

A Looking at uses which affect water quality

Q 20 feet per second?

A Based on mean annual flow as projected by USGS study.

Q 100 to 200 foot buffers?

A The 200-foot shoreline jurisdiction is similar to a zoning overlay. Used to identify areas where shoreline rules apply. Shoreline jurisdiction is not a buffer in itself.

Q Access? Along water edge? Parks?

A Right of way. Project team is reviewing.

Q Boat lifts?

A Under current process, permitted as a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). Want to add boatlifts to SMP to specify lower level of review.

Q When new vs. old – vesting?

A [Vesting occurs] after determination of complete permit application.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Dog friendly access
 - More public access the better

- Micro parks – bike, pedestrian access
 - Not every park needs vehicle parking
 - Questions about private property
 - Public benefit – for community
 - Multifamily developments are required to provide access, but who maintains and ensures? Burden shouldn't be on owner/developer. County should be required to maintain.
 - Need another state park(s)
 - Need more public docks and boat launches
 - For CUPs, consider requiring some kind of water access, marina, e.g., at waterfront restaurant
 - Worried that money goes to state staff rather than for land purchases for public access
 - Need more state parks
 - No more state parks
 - Get County public works maps of street ends right of way that should be public access
 - Preserve, identify and sign all street ends right of way for public access – adjacent property owners chase off users
 - Kelly's Resort visitors trespass on private property
 - Where does private ownership end and PUD/DNR ownership begin?
 - More parks equals more boats, more wildlife damage
 - Would like trail from 25 Mile Creek state park to Box [canyon or creek?]
2. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
- Not enough access in summertime
 - More high rises blocking views, e.g., Lakehouse, Campbell's
 - Need view corridors – Coeur d'Alene, Idaho is a good example
 - Need more sandy beaches – lawns are soggy, goose poop
 - Beaches lost with PUD control
 - Visual impacts of erosion – need flexibility to repair, fill waterward of ordinary high water mark
 - Excellent

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- No vehicle pull off to view lake between Chelan and Manson – need viewpoint signage
3. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
- Every place needs more
 - Don't force private owners to provide [public access]
 - Possible purchase of private property to add parks
 - Community waterfront areas work well - guidelines for hillside developments
 - Need a trail along the gorge, all the way to Chelan Falls
 - Access needed both sides of lake
 - Public access uplake of 25 Mile Creek
 - Non-boating access for hiking, biking, horseback riding
 - Antilon Lake – need hiking opportunities
 - Identify existing public access sites – street ends, right of way, etc.
 - More parks for non-boat users
 - More boat access (docks, buoys) uplake from 25 Mile Creek

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
- Enough residential and business
 - Not enough commercial
 - Need to get barge access on Lake Chelan
 - Enough agriculture and irrigation
 - Agriculture zone on water is no longer available
 - Small lot residential okay if can meet engineering/architecture [standards]
 - Lack of restaurants – outside urban area
 - More commercial (gas refueling stations, retail, etc.) outside urban area
 - Need restaurant on shoreline
 - Limited public access
 - More residential – large parcels to be developed

2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?

- Loud water crafts
- Too many fast boats – wakes
- Gas tanks, marinas
- More septic
- No, [shoreline uses] overprotected – uses are okay
- Above Kelly's Resort – vacant now, proposed for residential, marina and boat slips – natural and beautiful as is, proposed for homes
- Concerns about residential development, e.g., across from 25 Mile Creek
- Concerns about water quality, aesthetics – appalling development, particularly steep slopes
- Twisted Pearl – boat rented for parties
- Too many private marinas, too many parked boats, affect public enjoyment
- Junk cars around Mason Lakes
- Hydro races
- Howe Sound dock falling down
- Lady of the Lake causes waves

3. What other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?

- Kayak areas – non motorized water trails/pathways
- Destination boating stops
- Parks, commercial areas, restaurant
- Hiking, walking along water
- Restaurants plus other water related uses like Campbell's
- Need more boat rental and dock spaces
- Dog friendly access
- Need access, right of way
- More non-motorized use and development – kayak, bike, etc.
- Buoy line for swimmers – requires education
- Hiking, biking trail
- Commercial, e.g., White Rock, British Columbia

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Open up street ends or combine to make single large park
 - Safe pedestrian walkway along water with connectivity to downtown shops
 - Better access uplake (besides Lady of the Lake) for non-boat owners – maybe a shuttle
 - Designated dog park access via Marymoor
 - Sandy beaches, shallow water access (without walls at lakeside)
 - More developed parks at Wapato, Dry and Roses Lake
 - Trails along Chelan Gorge
 - Problems with lake erosion at steep bluff in Manson – could be good site for shops, other waterfront development
 - Need view corridors – need incentives and regulations for view corridor
 - Improve signage for public access/street ends
 - Fields Point Landing – now blocked for launching
 - Like to walk beaches when water is low
4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
- Flexibility – CUPs for commercial, water oriented uses – possibility for change-taker

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
- Lake Chelan already 3/4 protected – enough protection
 - Columbia River docks and banks – concerned about private use, not protection
 - No concerned about it
 - Some [areas] are ugly, but green up – should have to replant west of Manson
 - I don't care, it doesn't bother me
 - [Preservation] has locked up so much of the state
 - Already afforded degree of protection – programs already in place
 - Concern about access on Upper Stehekin Valley Road


2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Should continue to be protected under existing [regulations], but don't add more protections
 - Historical wetlands already degraded
 - Storm water management
 - Govern/ruled that development does not affect lake quality
 - Too much – a lot better 30 to 40 years ago

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
 - Some eroded banks – responsibility depends on ownership
 - Want flexibility to encroach slope – beach building
 - Whoever is at fault pays, but if area-wide and County/state wants it corrected, they should take care of it
 - Storm drain overflow pulling sediment into lake, causing erosion (South Harris Avenue in Manson)
 - All sediment and pollutants going into lake
 - Downtown Manson near fire station – old swimming hole, not the new park
 - Area across from Fields Point and 25 Mile Creek
 - County ruined shoreline by improving highway – County should restore
 - Erosion protection in developed area is the County's responsibility
 - Water reclamation and treatment in Manson
 - Storm water treatment – no follow through
 - Chelan Valley runoff from fires (lake wide)
 - Mitigation banking – fee in lieu
 - Residential development across from Kelly's Resort – let them be, build a road
 - Clearing and grading around lake

4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
 - Problem with WDFW boat – putting in large woody debris, trying to bring in fish that don't belong – why?
 - Don't want large woody debris sticking out

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Want waterline to be attractive, no brush
- Columbia River – would like to see milfoil program
- Put regulation into County hands
- Want to know why dock regulations and mitigation exist
- No large woody debris historically
- More local control
- Would like to see shoreline study stay as is – natural – particularly top 30 miles [of lake] – concerns that there are private holdings there, but would prefer to have it remain public



Legend

- Jurisdiction
- City Boundaries
- UGA Boundaries

Scale: 0 0.5 1 1.5 Miles

October 2008
 Prepared by:
 WDAWA/DFL
 700 Chelan Center
 WY, New York, NY 10001

Shoreline jurisdiction boundaries are based on the regional agreements. They have not been updated and are intended for planning purposes only. Additional jurisdiction boundaries may be identified through specific evaluation of the shoreline jurisdiction maps.

© 2008 WDAWA/DFL



A.1.9 Entiat Watershed / Columbia River above Wenatchee

November 5, 2008

Entiat Grange Hall – 14108 Kinzel Road

6:00 to 8:00 pm

7 participants

Question and Answer Session

Q What does clearing and grading cover?

A Water dependent uses

Q How will enforcement be managed?

A County will consider enforcement/management structure based on available budget. Permitting process will help determine, manage and define enforcement. County wants to streamline permitting process.

Q Does streamlining include agency review?

A County ensures consistency with agency requirements and thus helps with permit streamlining.

Break-Out Group Discussion

PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
 - Need public access along Entiat River
 - Need public access to Columbia River – lots of private ownership currently
 - With no clear public access, people make their own pathway across private property without permission
 - Entiat River property purchased by WDFW – are there any opportunities?
 - County needs to identify public property and easements along Entiat River, then determine opportunities for more public access
 - Signage needed for public access points
 - Need boat launch on Chelan County side of Columbia River
 - Petition PUD for public area on waterfront near Earthquake Point
 - Railroad tracks are an obstacle

2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.)
 - Walk, wildlife viewing, hydro plane races, waterfront Chamber of Commerce events (e.g., Summer Fest), camping, boating, fishing, canoeing, kayaking, graffiti, hunting, education, swimming, jet skis and personal water craft
3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?
 - Need uses that promote local economic vitality
 - Inadequate public access
 - Lots of access to forest lands, so there is not necessarily inadequate access locally – just not much “urban” access, more backcountry
 - Lack of access along Columbia River
 - Lack of public viewpoints
 - Inventory scenic vistas and turnout points (especially above Rocky Reach)
 - Parking and viewpoints used above the dam may not be legal
4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?
 - Need fishing access along Entiat River
 - Identify public ownership areas, then determine more public access points
 - Inventory land trust properties (recently purchased acreage)

SHORELINE USE AND DEVELOPMENT

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture? What is there too much or too little of?
 - There is going to be too much residential
 - Additional access and usage stress the river
 - Fishing and water craft are conflicting uses
 - Need more commercial within Entiat city limits and along shoreline
 - Look into Chelan Falls land inventory
 - Add commercial
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
 - Find balance between wildlife and proposed marina

Community Vision Workshop Summary

- Marina may help reduce private dock construction
3. What other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
 - Waterfront hotel
 - Pocket parks
 - Restrooms between Wenatchee and City of Chelan
 - Marina infrastructure
 - Community pool or aquatic center
 4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
 - We have enough residential, but have areas available for commercial
 - Hotel for multi-day use vs. our existing 2-room day-use facility
 - Port of Chelan is investing in the area

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION


1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?
 - Entiat watershed plan has list of areas for preservation
 - From PUD substation north, where cliffs come to Columbia River – heavily used by water fowl – near Earthquake Point
 - PUD could surplus land for conversion to public access (southern tip of Earthquake Point)
 - PUD has staff dedicated to enhancing waterfowl habitat and raptor research
 - Sensitive area in front of proposed marina
 - Inventory land that could be potential wildlife habitat
 - Need perches and nesting poles for osprey as development increases
 - Concern about beaver damage to trees
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase)
 - Grant funding
 - Lots of inventorying to be done by PUD

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?
 - Oklahoma Gulch – supposed to be restored? Area with Lewis' woodpeckers and rattlesnakes
 - Springs and streams at mouth of Columbia River
 - County should be responsible for restoration

Public Access & Recreation

Entiat Watershed and Columbia River

DRAFT



← Need public access

October 2008
 Date: 10/15/08
 U.S. G.S. 1:250,000
 752-200-0000
 www.fishbase.org

Public Access, Parks, and Other Public Lands

| | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Boat Launch | Lake Rec Area | Shelter | Fishing Easement | City Boundaries |
| Botanical Area | Lookout | Ski Area | Fishing Easement with Boellaunch | UGA Boundaries |
| Campground | ORV Site | Snopark | Other Public and Protected Lands | View Corridors |
| Group Site | Organization Site | Trailhead | Jurisdiction | Hiking Trails |
| Horse Camp | Picnic Area | Visitor Info Site | | Proposed Trails |
| Interp Site | Rec Residence | Winter Rec. Facilities | | Snowmobile Trails |
| | | | | XCountry Trails |


Boundary jurisdiction boundaries depicted on this map are approximate. They have not been formally adopted or accepted and are intended for planning purposes only. Additional site-specific boundaries may be needed to conform to information shown on the map.

A.2 Comment Cards and Questionnaires

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

| | |
|--|--|
| Name | Judy Terry |
| Affiliation | |
| Address | 2995 Malaga Alcoa Hwy. |
| | Malaga, WA 98828 |
| City/State/Zip | |
| Would you like someone to contact you? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| If yes, what is the best way to contact you? | <input type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input type="checkbox"/> Phone |
| E-mail | Phone |


Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

Thanks for gathering information in Malaga. We greatly need public shoreline access for recreation. A waterfront Park would be an incredible asset to our area, as would a public dock and boat launch. A starting point might be a shoreline ownership inventory.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Name M. J. GRIFFITH
Affiliation home owner
Address 16609 N SHORE DR
City/State/Zip LEAVENWORTH
Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone
E-mail griffvick@gmail.com Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

① There is a major point source to Lake Wenatchee, east side of Lake, about 150 feet SE of where Brown Road joins North Shore Drive. There is a culvert which dumps here at this bay/inlet. The culvert is buried all the way from the ~~lake~~ Lake, under private property all the way to the east side of the main highway. The drainage comes from a very large field that extends much of the way toward Fish Lake. There used to be only for 2 residents back there, now there are many mailboxes. And animals grazing at times. The water that dumps into the Lake is often yellow/brown. We have noticed more lake growths.

② Newcomers are "landscaping". Removing natural growth. Planting non-native shrubs and get even lawns. And fertilizing the landscaping. Lawns and fertilizer near the shore, low, and then they "claim" more vegetation in the Lake. But!!!

Tape here.

Additional comments



3) Nothing wrong with septic tanks. Unlike a city-style treatment plant they are not knocked out of commission for several days at a time and dump raw sewage into our waterways. Most soil here is tight and clay. People even have a hard time drilling decent wells within 50 feet of the lake - not much gets through the clay soil!!

and drain field works
 We did have a neighbor whose cabin^{is} down next to the water, but he connected to the sewer. I and my neighbor are all on the back where we are not a threat to anything.

Fold here.



Chelan County
Natural Resource Department
 Attn: Erin Fonville
 316 Washington Street, Suite 401
 Wenatchee, WA 98801



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
 FIRST-CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 90 WENATCHEE WA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE




ERIN FONVILLE
 SMP PROJECT MANAGER
 CHELAN COUNTY NATURAL RESOURCES
 316 WASHINGTON ST STE 401
 WENATCHEE WA 98801-9964

Received 10/31/08

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name _____

Affiliation _____

Address _____

City/State/Zip _____

Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone

E-mail _____ Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us


Please not only look into
other public access areas
but restore current public
access areas to the
public -

Thank you.
A

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name Gordon Ivo

Affiliation City Cashmere

Address 101 Newberry

City/State/Zip Cashmere WA 98815

Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone

E-mail _____ Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

Re Hearing at Cashmere Center 10/23/08


I did not hear the opening remarks well enough to follow - please use a mike - female voices have a different pitch - and volume -

It might be well to have the resource women - or all of you - tour the city of Cashmere to get a firsthand look at the various streams "within" the city.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Name Vicky Cibicki
Affiliation Cashmere resident
Address 301 Fircrest Dr.
City/State/Zip Cashmere, 98815
Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone
E-mail _____ Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

- 1) Would love to see a Lvth to Wenatchee bicycle trail included in the Shoreline Master Plan!!
- 2) Rejuvenate Lake Juvenile (west of Aplets Way Bridge b/w Hwy + River)
- 3) Move boat launch away from salmon spawning ground near Jarvis Substation
- 4) Develop Sleepy Hollow swimming hole (or @ least don't discourage people from using it)
- 5) Don't require a fishing vehicle permit @ ~~Maple Hill~~ Rodeo Hole. Can't fish the river anymore. It's all Kayakers, swimmers that use that area.
- 6) In 1958, PUD purchased a bunch of public access sites 3/4 mile apart. Resurrect that document. Maybe more public access.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Name PHIL FIKKAN
Affiliation CASHMERE RESIDENT
Address 123 RIVERFRONT DR
City/State/Zip CASHMERE, WA 98815
Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone
E-mail pfikkan@verizon.net Phone 509-786-8222


Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

OVERALL GOOD MEETING. SPEAKERS
NEED TO SLOW UP. PARTICIPANTS
USE MIKES. DIFFICULT TO
HEAR. PUBLIC NEEDS TO
SEE CLEAR MAPS AS TO
WHO OWNS WHAT.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name B. Bix
Affiliation BLUE STAR BLOWERS
Address ZOO BLUE STAR WAY
City/State/Zip CASHMERE WA 98815
Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone
E-mail _____ Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

Railroad/Highway/Agriculture are some
excellent habitat.


Often more undisturbed than
individual homeowners.

Concern Farmers have critical
concerns with Air Flow during
Spring Frost and types of
vegetation used for export issues.
Will farmers be able to maintain
open bays for Air Flow in
shoreline trees.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Name CARIN SMITH
Affiliation community member + Kayaker
Address 9001 Derby Canyon
City/State/Zip Peshastin 98847
Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No Not necessary
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone
E-mail carin@smith.net Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.forville@co.chelan.wa.us

Priority - Get the large trash out of the River
Find out who is responsible (FACTORS NOT
SURE) & FIX IT! IT IS UGLY and dangerous
(large pieces of metal between Dryden &
Cashmere in & along river)

"View corridor" Leavenworth to Cashmere
The whole thing is a new corridor
No need to develop on the shoreline


There needs to be many more public access
areas

The trail is a high priority
Secure the natural beauty

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name Don Relfs

Affiliation _____

Address 508 Day Road N.

City/State/Zip Wenatchee

Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No
If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone

E-mail donrelfs@aol.com Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

- ① Provide info @ Preservation of
- Native flora vs. invasive exotics
 - Native pollinators vs. alien pollinators

I can provide responsibly, reproducible protocol for establishing baseline data for survey of Native Pollinators in shoreline under jurisdiction of this master program.


Don Relfs
donrelfs@aol.com

- ② Educational Resource - Native flora accessible to students in formal classes related to ecology - observe native plants observe native pollinators & other fauna. Students of grade school - WVC college would benefit.

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name _____

Affiliation _____

Address _____

City/State/Zip _____

Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No

If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone

E-mail _____ Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation. For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us


- What is the status of the "Fingers" shoreline area? Could this land be purchased for public access development?

- Are recommendations made by the study done 5-8 yrs ago by biologists from Lake Tahoe studying Lake Chelan water quality... are they being considered and incorporated into Lake Chelan Environmental and Water Quality Smp?

Comment Card

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program

Please print legibly.



Chelan County
Shoreline
Master
Program

Name Dixie J. Baker

Affiliation _____

Address 2025 W. TERRACE AVE.

City/State/Zip CHELAN, WA. 98816

Would you like someone to contact you? Yes No

If yes, what is the best way to contact you? E-mail Phone

E-mail dixiebaker@nw.net Phone _____

Please share your comments on the Shoreline Master Program. Thank you for your time and participation.
For additional information, please visit www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html
or e-mail erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

There is enough off shore businesses
currently in operation. The
traffic on the lake is congested
enough. I would favor leaving
things capped off as is with
only attrition replacing the
existing number of businesses
in operation.

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Community Workshops Questionnaire

Thank you for your interest in the Shoreline Master Program update and for attending the City of Chelan's Community Workshop. Below are the questions that were asked during the Community Workshop on October 21, 2008. Any information that you can provide will be very helpful to the update process. Feel free to provide feedback on any or all of the questions. Please either e-mail your responses to erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us or mail to: Erin Fonville, Chelan County Natural Resource Department, 316 Washington St., Suite 401, Wenatchee, WA 98801

Purpose

The purpose of the first round of community workshops is to capture citizen goals and aspirations with respect to the findings of the shoreline inventory. Information gathered during these meetings will help in the development of shoreline goals, policies and regulations. Subsequent meetings will cover shoreline analysis, shoreline management recommendations, and draft policies and regulations.

Community Workshop Questions

Shoreline Use & Development

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture?

yes, most of the usable shoreline is being used

- a. What is there too much of?

jet skis, loud boats noise

- b. What is there too little of?

control of lake level, lake should remain full especially for Sept. & Oct

2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?

jet ski business, due to lake & noise pollution

3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?

Nice restaurant near downtown

4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?

NO!

5. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of shoreline use and development?

Hope it does not change, no more condos on the shoreline

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Community Workshops Questionnaire

6. What do you like best about your community waterfront now?

7. What concerns you most about your community waterfront now?

High density development

Public Access & Recreation

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?

Same

2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.)

All the above

3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical?

OK

4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)?

No

5. How do you think your community should balance provision of additional public access, if needed, against uses that might provide direct economic benefits to your community?

Public access should be near Town

6. How often do you visit shorelines in Chelan County? Which ones?

Not. Lake Chelan

Environmental Protection

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected?

The Lake

2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase, etc.)

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Community Workshops Questionnaire

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration?

Boat dock on way into town. It should be easier for people to get permits to maintain & improve lake shore properties. Dealing with shoreline mgmt, Fisheries, City, Corp of Eng., & Dept of Ecology, is way to difficult.

4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?

Hope the pollution from increased boating & jet ski activities doesn't ruin the lake quality and shoreline. Also that all the above agencies improve dealing with people who want to keep the shoreline looking good.

Thank you for your interest in the Shoreline Master Program update and for attending the City of Chelan's Community Workshop. Below are the questions that were asked during the Community Workshop on October 21, 2008. Any information that you can provide will be very helpful to the update process. Feel free to provide feedback on any or all of the questions. Please either e-mail your responses to _____ or mail to: Erin Fonville, Chelan County Natural Resource Department, 316 Washington St., Suite 401, Wenatchee, WA 98801

Purpose

The purpose of the first round of community workshops is to capture citizen goals and aspirations with respect to the findings of the shoreline inventory. Information gathered during these meetings will help in the development of shoreline goals, policies and regulations. Subsequent meetings will cover shoreline analysis, shoreline management recommendations, and draft policies and regulations.

Community Workshop Questions

Shoreline Use & Development

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture?

- a. What is there too much of?

Too much traffic.

- b. What is there too little of?

Too little parking.

2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?

The proposal to make parks or micro parks out of road ends is inappropriate. In some instances the shoreline is too steep and dangerous. In other instances there is little to no parking available to accommodate a park facility, no space for bathrooms, and it would create an intrusion into quiet neighborhoods that are not zoned TA.

3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where? **Lake Chelan is currently too congested as it is to promote additional recreational uses on the shoreline. Parking is a long standing issue and a problem that has yet to be addressed.**

4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development? **No. Absolutely not.**

5. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of shoreline use and development? **At the current rate, without more restrictions, I foresee more congestion, and high rise condominiums blocking the lake view.**

6. What do you like best about your community waterfront now? Beautiful views. Availability to boat launches, Lakeside Park, etc.

7. What concerns you most about your community waterfront now? Section J of the proposed trail and the proposed Micro Park coming into the neighborhood creating more congestion and parking problems than we currently experience every Summer.

Public Access & Recreation

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation? **Lakeside Park and Don Morse Park are great recreational areas for public access.**

2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.) Boating, rafting, trails, and swimming.

3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical? Good.

4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)? No.

5. How do you think your community should balance provision of additional public access, if needed, against uses that might provide direct economic benefits to your community?

6. How often do you visit shorelines in Chelan County? Which ones?

Environmental Protection

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected? The Lake and Chelan Butte.

2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase, etc.)
Regulations must be enforced. Parking should be acquired AND REQUIRED to accommodate any proposed useage.

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration? **Three fingers should be restored to the public.**

4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?

5. Overuse and congestion can only mean noise and pollution.

Received 11/18/2008

Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Community Workshops Questionnaire

Thank you for your interest in the Shoreline Master Program update and for attending the City of Chelan's Community Workshop. Below are the questions that were asked during the Community Workshop on October 21, 2008. Any information that you can provide will be very helpful to the update process. Feel free to provide feedback on any or all of the questions. Please either e-mail your responses to erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us or mail to: Erin Fonville, Chelan County Natural Resource Department, 316 Washington St., Suite 401, Wenatchee, WA 98801

Purpose

The purpose of the first round of community workshops is to capture citizen goals and aspirations with respect to the findings of the shoreline inventory. Information gathered during these meetings will help in the development of shoreline goals, policies and regulations. Subsequent meetings will cover shoreline analysis, shoreline management recommendations, and draft policies and regulations.

Community Workshop Questions

Shoreline Use & Development

1. Are there adequate areas for residential, business, recreation, public access, habitat, open space and agriculture?
A safe shoulder or path for biking, walking or running would be good.
 - a. What is there too much of?
PWC's
 - b. What is there too little of?
low water ~~beaching~~ launching and low water docking for boats
2. Are there current community shoreline uses that you feel aren't appropriate? Why?
No
3. Aside from public access and recreational uses, what other developments would you like to see on the shoreline? Where?
I think all the things that I think of are recreational. More boat moorage
4. Are there areas of your community shorelines that you feel are suitable for high-intensity development?
Maybe Manson Bay
5. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of shoreline use and development?
Keep garish development off the waterfront

**Chelan County Shoreline Master Program
Community Workshops Questionnaire**

6. What do you like best about your community waterfront now? *Ease of use and space to play*
7. What concerns you most about your community waterfront now? *Overcrowding at the City Park + Lakeside in the peak summer season*

Public Access & Recreation

1. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of public access and recreation?
2. How do you use the shorelines? (View points, trails, parks or recreation areas, boating, rafting, swimming, etc.) *We boat + swim*
3. How do you feel about your level of waterfront access, both visual and physical? *Pretty good except when the lake drops below 1085.5.*
4. Are there areas that need public access (that currently don't have any)? *Not that I can think of except low water recreational boating access*
5. How do you think your community should balance provision of additional public access, if needed, against uses that might provide direct economic benefits to your community? *Finding that balance*
6. How often do you visit shorelines in Chelan County? Which ones? *~~1x mo~~ - Don Morris Lake Chelan Marina, 200+ days a year - Manson Bay Mill Bay,*

Environmental Protection

1. Does your community have natural areas that you feel should be preserved or protected? *Yes - Mill Creek*
2. How can these areas best be protected? (Volunteer actions, regulations, purchase, etc.) *By making sure the development doesn't allow run off*

**Chelan County Shoreline Master Program
Community Workshops Questionnaire**

3. Are you aware of any degraded areas that you feel should be restored? Who should be responsible for shoreline restoration? Primarily PUD funding. Community volunteer work could augment it.

4. When you imagine the future shoreline, what will it look like in terms of environmental condition?
Well managed and protected from pollutants, litter and unsightly development

ec

A.3 Letters and Emails

SMP WORKSHOP FOR CHELAN COUNTY and CASHMERE

OCT 23, 2008

I went with Amy to listen to people's access ideas and issues from three groups;

GROUP 1

Considerable discussion on the Sleepy Hollow area.

Access problems at Sleepy Hollow bridge during summer
Question on do we know what the railroad uses to kill weeds, fight fires, etc.

Cashmere Dike access

Keep the river accesses clean

I talked to Mr. Peterson on river access. He owns a large ranch that has a fishing access area with toilet. He is very supportive on the river access areas.

Group 2

Question on whether the property line is the high water line or the centerline of the riverbed

Lots of different activities to use the river access points; fishing, kayaking, bird watching, canoes, etc

Record/document owners along the river

Railroad ownership/rules/regulations

Need to consider what is planned for bike trail from Wenatchee to Leavenworth

Impact of local gold mining on the salmon, et al, fishery and water quality

TBD Cashmere area boat launch that puts boats right over salmon spawning beds

Group 3

Interest in seeing riverbank restaurants in Cashmere

Keep access areas clean

Provide poles, etc, to build eagle nest platforms

Will increase water usage result in liability issues

Very expensive wastewater fixes being mandated

Received 10/27/08

Thank you for your questionnaire on the development of our future shoreline. This narrative is centered on our first meeting and that is the shoreline within the city of Chelan.

We are at a critical point in the development of our shoreline within the City of Chelan. With the increased pressure for development we must stop the random development of each individual project and look to a comprehensive plan for the entire shoreline. Some Suggestions:

Access to the lake is not just physical. We need new plans to maintain both the physical and "lake experience" access to the lake. This includes sight, sound and even smell. It's ironic that we are building a great trail to surround the lake while at the same time we are approving 50 foot high building projects on the shoreline that will cut off both the physical and "lake experience" access. It reminds me of Lake Tahoe. On the California side you have great "lake experience" access. You can see the lake as you drive by. You have public parks and open space with low height development. Buildings are not jammed together and are (I Think) at least 30 feet apart to maintain this lake experience for all. You hit the Nevada border and the lake disappears. High rise development jammed on 5 foot borders on the shoreline cuts the lake off.

Our lesson should be to develop high density projects in the hills not the shoreline. We should make sure all projects must leave adequate boundaries (15 foot from line) between neighbors. Projects must be low enough to maintain the view of the lake from the trail. We need a new zoning requirement for just shoreline projects.

Water quality is a must. We can not keep approving projects that will put more and more boats in the lake. All boats leak and will pollute. Putting 500 and more boats in marines just up lake and up current from our water supply is not only not smart but dangerous. Additional boats mean additional boat traffic, more noise pollution, more rough boat wakes and more conflict on the water. We need quiet water areas.

New projects must provide adequate parking for boat trailers.

We need good restaurants on the shoreline.

We need a first class year round city marina that would provide sea plane and charter boat public access.

We need the lake to be full year round.

However if I were King I would make sure our shoreline would end up a lot more like that of Kelowna, B.C. I would move the trail down to the shoreline. I would require all projects add this trail and ready access in all their plans. I would include parks and open area with benches. Low rise commercial areas would be built in the area just up from the trail complex. I would insure that the entire lake experience was part of the long term heritage of what we do now.

Thank you for this opportunity and I would like to be involved in the Shoreline Master Program.

Lyle Mettler
P.O. Box 63
Chelan, WA
Flying4chelan@aol.com
509-682-2328



Washington State Senate

Senator Linda Evans Parlette
Senate Republican Caucus Chair
12th Legislative District

Olympia Office:
316 Legislative Building
P.O. Box 40412
Olympia, WA 98504-4012
Phone: (360) 786-7622
Fax: (360) 786-1266

District Office:
625 Okanogan, Suite 301
Wenatchee, WA 98801
Phone: (509) 663-9702
E-mail: Parlette.Linda@leg.wa.gov
Hotline: 1-800-562-6000

October 30, 2008

Erin Fonville, Natural Resource Specialist
Chelan County Natural Resources
316 Washington St. Suite 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

RE: Update Shoreline Master Plan; Chelan County

Dear Erin,

Thank you for inviting me to comment on Chelan County's proposed Shoreline Master Plan (SMP). As a member of a Lake Chelan Pioneer family, the beauty of the Lake and the sensible use of its shoreline is important to me. The shorelines in our region are a limited resource which, when developed, should be used for the highest and best use for the communities who reside near them.

Over the past two years, my family and I have been working through the process of contesting a shoreline decision made by the Chelan County hearing examiner regarding a proposed community dock on Lake Chelan. Our case went in front of the Shoreline Hearings Board, whose decision upheld our position. It was a very expensive process to go through and I believe much of it could have been avoided if the City of Chelan updated their Shoreline Master Plan as required by law when a piece of lake frontage was annexed into the city limits.

I share this because, I understand Chelan County, and the encompassed cities, will have proposed shoreline jurisdiction over an area that is distributed among 80 rivers and streams, 54 lakes and reservoirs, as well as four Watershed Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs). The amount of new growth in areas surrounding our waterways has greatly increased in the past few years and I am glad Chelan County and the municipalities with its border are taking the time to update the Shoreline Master Plan to bring everyone on the same page.

I would hope the final recommendations are found to be clear, consistent, and feasible for those charged with implementation. Public access to water ways is of importance to me. I would hope that lands owned by public utility districts on Chelan County waterways will be looked at carefully to see if there is any potential for public access. I would encourage the planning group to seek out input from the P.U.D. to learn more about their vision for more public access on shorelines they own.

I would also hope the updated SMP will give guidance to local jurisdictions that may have a marina or dock already in place that has potential for expansion or modernization; for example, the City of Chelan's docking facility. Capitalizing on existing infrastructure for highest and best use for public benefit is a fiscally prudent way to maximize our shoreline use.

Thank you for opportunity to comment on this very important process.

Sincerely,

LINDA EVANS PARLETTE
Washington State Senator
12th Legislative District

Erin Fonville

From: patti cassell [pattinevarilcassell@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 7:37 PM
To: Erin Fonville
Subject: Shoreline Master Program
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Yellow

Hello, and thank you for the notice of the Community Workshop for the Chelan Co. Master Shoreline Program on October 30th.

I am sorry to say I am unable to attend this workshop, and hope that this email can serve as my solicited input. My husband and I purchased land and built a home in Manson 15 years ago, drawn by the unbelievable beauty of the area, and the small town feel.

I have to say that over these past years we have been increasingly frustrated by the seemingly uncontrolled growth, especially at the waterfront. I get the feeling that if growth continues this way, the only people that will even get to **view** the lake from the highway are the wealthy property owners. Please, please put some covenants in place that prevents enormous multistory homes and/ or multiple use dwellings directly at the waterfront. The lake and it's views should be available for all to enjoy, not the just the elite class. Sincerely, Patti Cassell

Store, manage and share up to 5GB with Windows Live SkyDrive. [Start uploading now](#)

Erin Fonville

From: Mark Cassell [mcase1@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 7:01 PM
To: Erin Fonville
Subject: Chelan County Shoreline Master Program
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Yellow

Erin,

We can't attend....but...we would like to see the lake as we drive around it! No high rise buildings right on the Lake....no dock extending out more than 50'...max!

No floating stores, no mega houseboats.

Septic systems must be state of the art if there are no sewers.

Keep multi family, hotels and condos in Chelan.

No uplake past Wapato Point multifamily!

Thanks for asking.

Mark Cassell
30 Washington St
Manson

Erin Fonville

From: Cordy Beckstead [cordy@becksteadelectric.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2008 3:04 PM
To: Erin Fonville
Subject: Shoreline Use & Development issues
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Yellow

Re: Your questionnaire for tonight's meeting.

1. I would like to see a public docking area on each side for those who might like to ride their boats to work. Perhaps they already exist.
2. I prefer a real mix of uses so it would be a real challenge for me to say so.
3. I would like to see retail spaces such as shops or eating places. There is a wonderful mix of shops on a pier that goes out into the lake at Sandpoint Idaho, for example. A restaurant on the edge would be lovely, too. Fairbanks, Alaska, has several and they are great to sit outside (in the summer of course) and watch the boats go by. I would also favor businesses on the river. Trees or bushes along the edge would of course be important for fish management.
4. Yes, several, particularly along the loop trail.
5. In the future I imagine a 3rd bridge across the river at about the bottom of 5th St. This location would be important primarily because Wenatchee needs more lanes out of town for safety purposes. I imagine every foot of the shoreline within the 2 current bridges is used extensively by the community and tourists. I imagine another park perhaps by the Olds Bridge on the east side. I hope for a mix of commercial and residential and industrial much like the waterfront in Seattle on the sound with the smells and sights of a diverse population enjoying a diverse number of activities and purposes. For example I think it is great that Columbia Cold Store is located at 5th St. and Worthen. It is tremendous to get the ice for the rink from their business (I realize that may be ending) and for the City it is great to get the revenue. The inconvenience of the trucks is a small price to enjoy the diversity.
6. The loop.
7. I am most concerned that regulations and policies will be too firm, tight and cover every activity imaginable. I vote for more flexibility and diversity of ALL kinds.

Thank you so much, Erin, for making this available.
Elisabeth

Erin Fonville

From: Erin Fonville
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2008 9:20 AM
To: 'Darren Talley'
Subject: RE: Community Workshop Questions

Darren,

Thanks for your comments and concern. I apologize if everything mentioned was not correctly written down during the workshop and appreciate you following up with me. I'll add the information below to what we collected during the workshop.

Thanks,

Erin Fonville
SMP Project Manager/
Natural Resource Specialist
Chelan County Natural Resources
316 Washington St. Suite 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

Office: (509) 667-6324
Cell: (509) 699-9016
E-mail: erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

Website: www.co.chelan.wa.us/wr_main.htm

From: Darren Talley [mailto:Darren@TalleyFinancial.com]
Sent: Monday, October 27, 2008 10:38 AM
To: Erin Fonville
Subject: RE: Community Workshop Questions

Erin,

Thank you for putting together a good work shop. I noticed that we are getting voices from everyone, with just their pet peeves. For instance one person said, all public access points on the lake should only be for non motorized transportation... like kayaks. That point was written down by the moderator (I think it was Amy). To be the counter point to that type of thinking I said, that motorized transportation should be encouraged. My point did not get written down by the moderator. This could skew the general voices being heard if someone reads through all that was written down, and counter balancing points are left out. It would lead someone who was not at the meeting or receives a letter from the workshop to believe that everyone's general opinion was taken into account, when in fact only certain points were written down helping to shape an certain outcome. I am not saying Amy did this intentionally, but really to every point that is brought out at these workshops there is a counter point that should be listed.

Thanks for listening,


Darren Talley

Darren J. Talley & Dean W. Talley
Lake Chelan Development, LLC
Granite Ridge, LLC
PO Box 969
Chelan, WA 98816

11/5/2008

APPENDIX B: WORKSHOP MATERIALS

B.1 Display Board



CHELAN COUNTY Shoreline Master Program UPDATE

What is an SMP?

Shoreline Master Programs (SMP) are a combination of rules and comprehensive planning that are developed by local governments to guide the development of stream and lake shorelines in accordance with the State Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58). Chelan County's current SMP was adopted in 1975 and contains goals, policies and regulations for shorelines within the local area. Chelan County and the Cities of Cashmere, Chelan, Entiat, Leavenworth, and Wenatchee are partnering to update their SMP as part of a county-wide effort with project funding from the Department of Ecology (DOE). The updated SMP, as required by DOE, will provide environmental protection for shorelines, preserve and enhance public access, and encourage appropriate development that supports water oriented uses.

What are shorelines?

Shorelines are special water bodies that meet certain size or flow criteria under the Shoreline Management Act, including the adjacent uplands. They specifically include lakes greater than 20 acres, streams and rivers with an average annual flow greater than 20 cubic feet per second (cfs), lands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark, floodways, some floodplains, and associated wetlands. Chelan County has at least 130 shorelines that meet the definition, which include approximately 50 lakes and 80 streams or rivers.

What do shoreline rules cover?

Shoreline rules apply to any land use activity that occurs within the shoreline jurisdiction as defined in the SMP. The rules cover the following:

- construction of new structures such as houses, sheds, and decks
- building height
- construction of in-water and over-water structures such as docks, buoys, and piers
- water-dependent uses such as residential docks and marinas
- land development such as clearing, grading, dredging, or filling
- other activities along the shorelines, including restoration (e.g., riparian planting, bank stabilization), trails, and public access.

Get involved!

The County and Cities invite you to become actively engaged in the SMP update process. The many ways to participate are:

- attend our public meetings and workshops
- invite us to attend your community organization meetings
- sign up for our e-mail distribution list
- learn more about shorelines
- talk to your neighbors and friends
- ask questions and provide comments on the products developed during the update

Our meeting schedule is available on the Internet, along with meeting notes and agendas, project updates, and products developed through the process. Visit the website for more information:

www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html

How long will it take?

The SMP update and adoption process is anticipated to take two years (with completion by June 30, 2010). Existing County and City SMPs will remain in effect until the updated plans are adopted by Ecology, the Board of Chelan County Commissioners, and the City Councils.

| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|------|------|
| Public Outreach and Involvement | | |
| Shoreline Inventory/Analysis | | |
| Shoreline Management Recommendations/Community Visions | | |
| Draft Policies/Regulations, Restoration Plan, and Cumulative Impacts Analysis | | |
| Public Approval Process | | |

B.2 Brochure

Get involved!

The County and Cities invite you to become actively engaged in the SMP update process.

The many ways to participate are:

- attend our public meetings and workshops
- invite us to attend your community organization meetings
- sign up for our e-mail distribution list
- learn more about shorelines
- talk to your neighbors and friends
- ask questions and provide comments on the products developed during the update

Our meeting schedule is available on the Internet, along with meeting notes and agendas, project updates, and products developed through the process. Visit the website or contact your local project coordinator (on back) for more information.

www.co.chelan.wa.us/nr/nr_shoreline_master_program.html



Need more information?

Chelan County

Erin Fonville, SMP Project Manager
Natural Resource Department

316 Washington St., Suite 401, Wenatchee, WA 98801
(509) 667-6324 • erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us

Chelan County

Lilith Yanagimachi, Planner II

Community Development Department
316 Washington St., Suite 301, Wenatchee, WA 98801
(509) 667-6586 • lilith.yanagimachi@co.chelan.wa.us

City of Cashmere

Mark Botello

101 Woodring St., Cashmere, WA 98815
(509) 782-3513 • mark@cityofcashmere.org

City of Chelan

Craig Gildroy

P.O. Box 1669, Chelan, WA 98816
(509) 682-8020 • cgildroy@cityofchelan.us

City of Entiat

Susan Driver

P.O. Box 228, Entiat, WA 98822
(509) 784-1500 • susan@smdsolutionsncw.com

City of Leavenworth

Connie Krueger, AICP

P.O. Box 287, Leavenworth, WA 98826
(509) 548-5275 • cddirector@cityofleavenworth.com

City of Wenatchee


Brian Frampton

P.O. Box 519, Wenatchee, WA 98807
(509) 664-5999 • bframpton@wenatcheewa.gov

Washington Department of Ecology

Clynda Case, Project Officer
Central Regional Office

15 W. Yakima Ave., Ste. 200, Yakima, WA 98902
(509) 457-7125 • clca461@ecy.wa.gov



CHELAN COUNTY Shoreline Master Program UPDATE



What is an SMP?

Shoreline Master Programs (SMP) are a combination of rules and comprehensive planning that are developed by local governments to guide the development of stream and lake shorelines in accordance with the State Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58). Chelan County's current SMP was adopted in 1975 and contains goals, policies and regulations for shorelines within the local area. Chelan County and the Cities of Cashmere, Chelan, Entiat, Leavenworth, and Wenatchee are partnering to update their SMP as part of a county-wide effort with project funding from the Department of Ecology (DOE). The updated SMP, as required by DOE, will provide environmental protection for shorelines, preserve and enhance public access, and encourage appropriate development that supports water oriented uses.

What are shorelines?

Shorelines are special water bodies that meet certain size or flow criteria under the Shoreline Management Act, including the adjacent uplands. They specifically include lakes greater than 20 acres, streams and rivers with an average annual flow greater than 20 cubic feet per second (cfs), lands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark, floodways, some floodplains, and associated wetlands. Chelan County has at least 130 shorelines that meet the definition, which include approximately 50 lakes and 80 streams or rivers.

What do shoreline rules cover?

Shoreline rules apply to any land use activity that occurs within the shoreline jurisdiction as defined in the SMP. The rules cover the following:

- construction of new structures such as houses, sheds, and decks
- building height
- construction of in-water and over-water structures such as docks, buoys, and piers
- water-dependent uses such as residential docks and marinas
- land development such as clearing, grading, dredging, or filling
- other activities along the shorelines, including restoration (e.g., riparian planting, bank stabilization), trails, and public access.

How long will it take?

The SMP update and adoption process is anticipated to take two years (with completion by June 30, 2010). Existing County and City SMPs will remain in effect until the updated plans are adopted by Ecology, the Board of Chelan County Commissioners, and the City Councils.

2008

2009

2010

Public Outreach and Involvement

Shoreline Inventory/Analysis

Shoreline Management Recommendations/Community Visions

Draft Policies/Regulations, Restoration Plan, and Cumulative Impacts Analysis

Public Approval Process

B.3 Postcard Announcement



Save the Date!

What?

Community Workshop for the Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Update

Why?

Do you care about what the shorelines within the Lake Chelan, Manson and surrounding areas will look like in the future? Join us for a workshop to gather public insight on future shoreline use & development; public access and recreation; and environmental protection.

When?


Thursday, October 30th, 6 - 8 p.m.

Where?

Chelan Fire Hall
232 East Wapato Avenue, Chelan

For More Information

Erin Fonville
Chelan County Natural Resource Dept.
(509) 667-6324
erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us



Save the Date!

What?

Community Workshop for the Chelan County Shoreline Master Program Update

Why?

Do you care about what the shorelines within Lake Chelan, Manson and surrounding areas will look like in the future? Join us for a workshop to gather public insight on future shoreline use & development; public access and recreation; and environmental protection.

When?


Thursday, October 30th, 6 - 8 p.m.

Where?

Chelan Fire Hall
232 East Wapato Avenue, Chelan

For More Information


Erin Fonville
Chelan County Natural Resource Dept.
(509) 667-6324
erin.fonville@co.chelan.wa.us





Chelan County
Natural Resource Department
Attn: Erin Fonville
316 Washington Street, Suite 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

PRESORTED
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
WENATCHEE, WA
PERMIT NO. 90



Chelan County
Natural Resource Department
Attn: Erin Fonville
316 Washington Street, Suite 401
Wenatchee, WA 98801

PRESORTED
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
WENATCHEE, WA
PERMIT NO. 90